

Global Information Assurance Certification Paper

Copyright SANS Institute Author Retains Full Rights

This paper is taken from the GIAC directory of certified professionals. Reposting is not permited without express written permission.

Audit of a Corporate Security Systems Domain Controller

Steve Graham November 12, 2004

GSNA Practical Version 3.2 Option 1

© SANS Institute 2005,

Abstract

The following is a technical audit of a Corporate Security Systems Domain Controller. This audit will begin by researching information about the system. It will provide a risk evaluation and review some current states of practice. Following this will be a check list, the audit itself and a report.

The purpose of this audit is to assess the vulnerabilities and risk levels of this security systems server and to provide recommendations and a comprehensive report detailing the findings.

ABSTRACT	2
TABLE OF CONTENTS	3
SYSTEM RESEARCH AND RISK EVALUATION	4
COMPANY INFORMATION AND OVERVIEW	4
TARGET SYSTEMS ORGANIZATIONAL ROLE	5
Network Diagram Figure 1	6
RISK EVALUATION	6
Exposure Figure 1.2	7
Threats	7
Vuinerabilities	8
	9 10
	10
THE SECURITY SYSTEMS AUDIT CHECKLIST	11
CHECK THAT THE SERVER IS PHYSICALLY SECURED	11
CHECK FOR THE EXISTENCE OF OPEN SHARES	12
PASSWORD PROTECTED SCREEN SAVER ENABLED	13
CHECK THAT "PASSWORDS MUST MEET COMPLEXITY POLICY" IS ENABLED	13
	14
VERIEV PATCH OR LIDDATE MANAGEMENT DROCESS	15
DOES THE SERVER HAVE REMOTE DESKTOP APPLICATIONS	15
Do THE REMOTE DESKTOP APPLICATIONS REQUIRE PASSWORDS	10
CHECK REMOTE DESKTOP APPLICATION USER ADMINISTRATION PROCESS	17
CHECK GLOBAL CATALOG USER AND COMPUTER ADMINISTRATION PROCESS	19
VERIFY THE EXISTENCE OF ANTIVIRUS SOFTWARE	19
CHECK THAT ANTIVIRUS APPLICATION IS SET TO AUTO UPDATE	20
CHECK THAT ANTIVIRUS VIRUS DEFINITIONS ARE UP TO DATE	21
THE AUDIT	21
	22
CHECK FOR THE EXISTENCE OF ODEN SHADES	22
PASSWORD PROTECTED SCREEN SAVER ENABLED	22
CHECK THAT "PASSWORDS MUST MEET COMPLEXITY POLICY" IS ENABLED	24
VERIFY PATCH OR UPDATE MANAGEMENT PROCESS	
DOES THE SERVER HAVE REMOTE DESKTOP APPLICATIONS	
DO THE REMOTE DESKTOP APPLICATIONS REQUIRE PASSWORDS	33
CHECK GLOBAL CATALOG USER AND COMPUTER ADMINISTRATION PROCESS	34
VERIFY THE EXISTENCE OF ANTIVIRUS SOFTWARE	35
CHECK THAT ANTIVIRUS VIRUS DEFINITIONS ARE UP TO DATE	
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS	39
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	39
Audit Findings	40
Figure 1.3	41
Figure 1.4	42
Figure 1.5	43
Figure 1.6	45
Figure 1.7	46

Table of Contents

Figure 1.8	
Figure 1.9	
BIBLIOGRAPHY (REFERENCE	ES)

System Research and Risk Evaluation

Company Information and Overview

The company this audit is focused on develops hardware for the semi-conductor industry. The manufacturing and servicing of this hardware required this company to decentralize its operation. With this organizational model it becomes difficult to monitor and enforce many of the companies policies and procedures. Applying building security systems to this model is an equally challenging task.

Although networked security systems have been around for a few years they are still relatively new. However, it is becoming increasingly more common for mid to large size organizations to rely almost completely on network based technology to secure their physical and (at times) intellectual property. Some organizations use a single security application that incorporates digital video recorders with access control and security alarm systems. Others use individual applications for each security system. The one key focus they all have in common is their primary form of communication, "The Network." It is true that many security systems have the ability to use a phone dialer as a backup to the network, but often times these connections are slow and unpredictable.

With limited budgets and shared network recourses it is not uncommon to find security system servers that have multiple rolls. Such is the case with the security server this audit is focused on.

Target Systems Organizational Role

The target of this audit is a single HP ProLiant DL380 server running Windows 2000 Server with Service Pack 4 (see below):

ltem	<u>Value</u>
OS Name	Microsoft Windows 2000 Server
Version	5.0.2195 Service Pack 4 Build 2195
OS Manufacturer	Microsoft Corporation
System Name	
System Manufactur	er HP
System Model	ProLiant DL380 G3
System Type X86-b	ased PC
Processor	x86 Family 15 Model 2 Stepping 7 GenuineIntel ~2389 Mhz
Processor	x86 Family 15 Model 2 Stepping 7 GenuineIntel ~2389 Mhz
Processor	x86 Family 15 Model 2 Stepping 7 GenuineIntel ~2389 Mhz
Processor	x86 Family 15 Model 2 Stepping 7 GenuineIntel ~2389 Mhz
BIOS Version	01/31/03
Windows Directory	C:\WINNT
Locale	United States
Time Zone	Pacific Daylight Time
Total Physical Mem	ory 2,096,660 KB
Available Physical N	Nemory87,196 KB
Total Virtual Memor	у 6,132,204 КВ
Available Virtual Me	emory 2,087,604 KB
Page File Space	4,035,544 KB

This machine plays several roles (see figure 1). It runs the access control head end application, network monitoring applications and is the Domain Controller for the entire security systems global network. This domain controller is utilized by a 24 hour Security Operations monitoring group including several technicians. It also supports 25 or more Digital Video Recorders and 30 or more access control sites. This server provides services and applications to internal users only.

Headquarters



Risk Evaluation

Perhaps the main role and certainly one of the most important functions of this Server is its management of the communications for the security domain as the Domain Controller. As the root of the forest for this security domain this stand alone server provides the DNS and WINS service in conjunction with the Global Catalog which makes it versatile yet vulnerable as the only DC (Domain Controller) supporting this security domain. On closer inspection a number of risks are associated with this particular network scenario and certainly apply to the server targeted for this audit. First we should take a look at what defines a Risk and how it will be identified throughout the remainder of this audit. In basic terms we are creating a Risk when we allow an Exposure to exist. When we add Vulnerability to a Threat we get Exposure:



Exposure Figure 1.2

With this in mind we will take a look at these elements and how they affect the target of our assessment.

Threat	Description	Capacity to Cause Damage
1. Malicious Code	Attack from malicious code	High – The introduction of
	such as Add-Ware, Spy-	malicious code to this system
	Ware or Computer Virus	could allow a virus or some
		form of malware to gather
	×7	confidential information and/or
		completely destroy critical
		programs, services and
		databases
2. Unauthorized	The ability of an	High – The ability to gain
Access	unauthorized person to	access to the system through a
	gain access to the Server	network share, remote software
	S	or direct access using
		unauthorized credentials could
Ċ	Y	potentially allow the
	2	unauthorized user full control of
		all security systems
3. Power Outage	Power loss to system	High – A power loss to this
6	and/or redundant power	system would cause the
	supply failure	Security Control Center to be
		blind to potential breaches in
		the physical security systems of
		the corporation and for the
		duration would render Security
		neipiess
4. Damage or	ine ability for unauthorized	Fign – Damage or There of this
Inett	personnel to access the	system would not only destroy
	physical system with the	all centralized security support

Threats

	intent to steal or damage	for the corporation involving Access Control, Alarm Conditions and Digital Video Surveillance, but would introduce the possibility of a new threat utilizing the newly acquired data and information resident on the system to remotely compromise all security systems
5. Permissions Abuse	Users who are granted permissions above their needs have the ability to compromise the security structure of the server and create vulnerabilities	High – Users with elevated privileges could pose a number of threats from the accidental (Database Corruption) to the malicious (unlocking all card access doors to a highly secured area)

Vulnerabilities

Vulnerability	Degree of Exposure	Potential Impact
1. Insufficient	High 🔊	Systems Services may fail to
Patch or Update		execute or become corrupt.
management		Data could also become
Process		corrupt or acquired through
		Adware/Spyware. Organization
		could be severely crippled.
2. No Password	🔊 High	If a user with administrative
Protected screen		privileges were to log on to a
savers on Server		Server in a multi-user Server
		Room environment and forget
	S	to log off another user with mal-
Ċ.		intent could completely
	2	compromise the security
		systems.
3. Easily	High	Once the credentials have
Guessable		been appropriated and a
Passwords		successful logon attempt has
(Server Policy		been made full authoritative
does not enforce		access will be given to the
Passwords to		user. The potential impact to
meet complexity		the organization could be
requirements)		severe.
4. Minimum UPS	Medium	A prolonged power loss could
standby time		exhaust the backup power of
		the UPS causing the server to

		go offline and security controls rendered useless.
5. Unused or Missing Redundant Power Supply	Medium	In the event the primary power supply of the server fails and the secondary power supply is unavailable the server will go offline and security controls will be rendered useless.
6. Server stored in unsecured location	High	If the server becomes damaged or falls victim to theft the organization stands to lose full control of security systems as well as critical data.
7. Elevated Permissions	High	A user with high enough credentials would have the ability to completely compromise the security systems server and all data within.
8. Open Shares	High	Through Open Shares a user can easily gain access to confidential data as well as control the server remotely.
9. Remote Desktop Applications	High	If a user gained access through a Remote Desktop Application they could potentially have as much control of the system as if they were sitting in front of it. If an administrator did not log off or lock the system the remote user could cause sever damage to the organization.

The Security Systems domain controller directly affects several areas of the organization. Some of these areas reach beyond the security group. Some of these groups operations are almost solely dependent on the data and function of this server. Below is a list of the assets this server brings to the Corporation:

Assets

Asset	Description
1. Control Room Operator (Access Control and Digital Video Data)	Security Control Room Operators rely heavily on the data from this server to Manage building access and Digital Video for the company globally

2. Investigator Data	Investigators use the data from this server as a key tool that provides information from the access control system as well as assisting in the retrieval of archived (time and date stamped) video clips.
3. Badge Office Data	The Badge Office is constantly updating and modifying the Access Control user database located on this server
4. Human Resources Data	Human Resources utilizes this access control server for the formal activation and deactivation of access badges for the company.
5. Building Physical Security	The Security Systems Domain Controller is the nerve center for all electronic security for the company worldwide. Security relies heavily on the functions of this server to extend their reach and enable them to physically secure all business offices around the globe.

Current State of Practice

In support of this audit you will find a list of sources below that have been or can be used as a reference during this process.

Resource	Comments
1. Securing Windows 2000 Server http://www.microsoft.com/downloads/details.as px?FamilyID=9964cf42-e236-4d73-aef4- 7b4fdc0a25f6&DisplayLang=en	Excellent Comprehensive resource and analysis tools from Microsoft itself including a Test, Delivery and Support Readiness Guide.
2. National Security Agency (Security Recommendation Guidelines for Windows 2000) http://nsa1.www.conxion.com/win2k/download. htm	Good reference and gives you a peak at what the NSA is doing (recommending) to secure Windows 2000

3. Securing Windows 2000 Server (Sans)	Gives you a decent
http://www.sans.org/rr/whitepapers/win2k/189.p	overview on Securing
hp	Windows 2000 Server and
	some good basic
	guidelines on securing the
	file system, share
	permissions, etc.
4. Protect Against Weak Authentication	A great look at
Protocols and Passwords	Authentication Protocols in
http://www.windowsecurity.com/articles/Protect-	depth (from the oldest to
Weak-Authentication-Protocols-Passwords.html	the latest)
5. Auditing Windows 2000	This article reviews the
http://www.winnetmag.com/Articles/Print.cfm?A	Windows 2000 Auditing
rticleID=9633	Categories and the Audit
	Policy. To see the Figures
	you must click on the
	nyperiinks.
6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security	These guidelines are
6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines	These guidelines are primarily set for File and
6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/admi	These guidelines are primarily set for File and Print Servers, but have
6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/admi nguide/w2ksecguidelines.html	These guidelines are primarily set for File and Print Servers, but have been a good source of
6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/admi nguide/w2ksecguidelines.html	These guidelines are primarily set for File and Print Servers, but have been a good source of information.
6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines <u>http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/adminguide/w2ksecguidelines.html</u> 7. Auditing the Corporate Access Control	These guidelines are primarily set for File and Print Servers, but have been a good source of information. A very good and thorough
 6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines <u>http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/adminguide/w2ksecguidelines.html</u> 7. Auditing the Corporate Access Control System: An independent Auditor's 	These guidelines are primarily set for File and Print Servers, but have been a good source of information. A very good and thorough Audit of a Corporate
 6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/admi nguidelines http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/admi nguide/w2ksecguidelines.html 7. Auditing the Corporate Access Control System: An independent Auditor's Perspective 	These guidelines are primarily set for File and Print Servers, but have been a good source of information. A very good and thorough Audit of a Corporate Access Control System.
6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/admi nguide/w2ksecguidelines.html 7. Auditing the Corporate Access Control System: An independent Auditor's Perspective http://www.giac.org/practical/GSNA/Scott Stein	These guidelines are primarily set for File and Print Servers, but have been a good source of information. A very good and thorough Audit of a Corporate Access Control System.
6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/admi nguide/w2ksecguidelines.html 7. Auditing the Corporate Access Control System: An independent Auditor's Perspective http://www.giac.org/practical/GSNA/Scott Stein er GSNA.pdf	These guidelines are primarily set for File and Print Servers, but have been a good source of information. A very good and thorough Audit of a Corporate Access Control System.
6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/admi nguide/w2ksecguidelines.html 7. Auditing the Corporate Access Control System: An independent Auditor's Perspective http://www.giac.org/practical/GSNA/Scott Stein er GSNA.pdf	These guidelines are primarily set for File and Print Servers, but have been a good source of information. A very good and thorough Audit of a Corporate Access Control System.
 6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines <u>http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/adminguide/w2ksecguidelines.html</u> 7. Auditing the Corporate Access Control System: An independent Auditor's Perspective <u>http://www.giac.org/practical/GSNA/Scott_Steinger_GSNA.pdf</u> 8. 5-Minute Security Advisor - Basic 	These guidelines are primarily set for File and Print Servers, but have been a good source of information. A very good and thorough Audit of a Corporate Access Control System.
 6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/admi nguide/w2ksecguidelines.html 7. Auditing the Corporate Access Control System: An independent Auditor's Perspective http://www.giac.org/practical/GSNA/Scott Stein er GSNA.pdf 8. 5-Minute Security Advisor - Basic Physical Security 	These guidelines are primarily set for File and Print Servers, but have been a good source of information. A very good and thorough Audit of a Corporate Access Control System.
 6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/admi nguide/w2ksecguidelines.html 7. Auditing the Corporate Access Control System: An independent Auditor's Perspective http://www.giac.org/practical/GSNA/Scott_Stein er_GSNA.pdf 8. 5-Minute Security Advisor - Basic Physical Security http://www.microsoft.com/technet/community/c 	Nyperlinks.These guidelines are primarily set for File andPrint Servers, but have been a good source of information.A very good and thorough Audit of a Corporate Access Control System.Good guidelines on Auditing the Physical Security
6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/admi nguide/w2ksecguidelines.html 7. Auditing the Corporate Access Control System: An independent Auditor's Perspective http://www.giac.org/practical/GSNA/Scott_Stein er_GSNA.pdf 8. 5-Minute Security Advisor - Basic Physical Security http://www.microsoft.com/technet/community/c olumns/5min/5min-203.mspx	hyperlinks.These guidelines are primarily set for File andPrint Servers, but have been a good source of information.A very good and thorough Audit of a Corporate Access Control System.Good guidelines on Auditing the Physical Security

The Security Systems Audit Checklist

Check that the Server is Physically Secured

Item 1	Data/Comments
Reference	Microsoft 5-Minute Security Advisor - Basic Physical Security
	http://www.microsoft.com/technet/community/columns/5min/5min- 203.mspx

Risk	 Too often companies spend a great deal of time and money securing their Network when their greatest vulnerability could be the theft of the computer itself.
	 Vulnerability addressed is number <u>6. Server stored in</u>
	unsecured location
	 Assets affected by a successful exploitation are:
	1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data
	2. Investigator Data
	3. Badge Office Data
	4. Human Resources Data
	5. Building Physical Security
	 Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: <u>High</u>
Testing	 Locate and Visit the facility where the server is housed
Procedure	 Verify that access to the room is controlled and that only
	required personnel can gain access
	 Verify that the server is in a locked server cabinet/rack
Test	- Objective
Nature	
Evidence	
Findings	

Check for the existence of Open Shares

Item 2	Data/Comments
Reference	UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines
	http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/adminguide/w2ksecguideli
	nes.html#physical
	Chapter 2.D ii & iii
Risk	- One of the most common ways an intruder can gain access to
	a computer is through an open share.
	 Vulnerability addressed is number <u>8. Open Shares</u>
	 Assets affected by a successful exploitation are:
	1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data
	2. Investigator Data
	3. Badge Office Data
	4. Human Resources Data
	- Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: <u>High</u>
Testing	 Locate server and log on as a domain user
Procedure	 Right click on the My Computer Icon
	- Left click on Manage
	- In Computer Management under System tools click on "Shared
	Folders" then click on Shares
	 Verify there are no Unauthorized/Open shares
Test	- Objective
Nature	
Evidence	
Findings	

Item 3	Data/Comments
Reference	I have referenced Personal Experience for this Checklist Item. In my experience you may come across someone remotely logged
	on to a server by simply traversing through the control console
	(switchbox)
Risk	 If an administrator walked away from a system and did not
	log off or lock it "and" a Password Protected Screen Saver
	was not enabled anyone local or remote could take
	control of that system.
	- Vulnerability addressed is number 2. No Password
	Protected screen savers on Server
	- Assets affected by a successful exploitation are:
	<u>1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data</u>
	2. Investigator Data
	3. Badge Office Data
	<u>4. Human Resources Data</u>
	5. Building Physical Security
	- Likelinood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability:
Tooting	<u> <u>Inigii</u> <u>I apata convertand los en colo demoin upor</u> </u>
Procedure	- Locale server and log on as a domain user Dight click on the deakton and select properties
Flocedule	- Right click of the desktop and select properties
	Verify that a screen saver is selected and that the Password
	Protected button is checked
	Also verify that the Wait time is set to longer than 15 minutes
Test Nature	- Objective
Evidence	
Findings	

Password Protected Screen Saver Enabled

Check that "Passwords must meet complexity policy" is enabled

Item 4	Data/Comments
Reference	Protect against weak Authentication Protocols and Passwords http://www.windowsecurity.com/articles/Protect-Weak- Authentication-Protocols-Passwords.html
Risk	 An easily guessable password has the potential to allow an intruder full access to that system. Forcing users to create a complex password greatly reduces that risk. Vulnerability addressed is number <u>3. Easily Guessable Passwords (Server Policy does not enforce Passwords to meet complexity requirements)</u> Assets affected by a successful exploitation are:

	1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data
	2. Investigator Data
	3. Badge Office Data
	4. Human Resources Data
	5. Building Physical Security
	- Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability:
	<u>Medium</u>
Testing	- Locate server and with a Domain Admin present log on as a
Procedure	domain administrator
	- Click on Start, Programs, Administrative Tools and Active
	Directory Users and Computers
	- Right click on the server you want to administer and select
	properties
	- Under the Server Properties select group policy
	- In the list of Policy Object links Double click "Default Domain
	Policy"
	- Under Computer Configuration select Windows Settings.
	Security Settings Account Policies and Password Policies
	- Verify that "Passwords Must Meet Complexity Requirements"
	is enabled
Test Nature	- Objective
Evidence	
Findings	

Verify UPS Configuration

ltem 5	Data/Comments
Reference	I have referenced Personal Experience for this Checklist Item.
	The UPS backup units are often overlooked in the way of
	maintenance and may be unable to support the devices
	connected to it for very long if at all.
Risk	 Server Up-time is critical to the functionality of several
	groups. If a server is on a failing UPS and there is a
	power loss the organization will suffer greatly.
	 Vulnerability addressed is number <u>4. Minimum UPS</u>
	standby time
	 Assets affected by a successful exploitation are:
	1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data
	2. Investigator Data
	3. Badge Office Data
	4. Human Resources Data
	5. Building Physical Security
	 Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability:
	<u>Medium</u>
Testing	 Locate room where server is housed

Procedure	- Identify UPS Power device
	 Verify at least one of the two power cables are plugged into the UPS
	- Check the make/model and power capabilities of the UPS
	 Verify the unit is in good working condition
Test Nature	- Objective and Subjective
Evidence	
Findings	

Are be	oth Server power supplies connected and operational
Item 6	Data/Comments
Reference	I have referenced Personal Experience for this Checklist Item. Overcrowded Server Rooms and inadequate power distribution may result in the deployment of a server utilizing only one of its two power supplies.
Risk	 Up-time will once again be affected by a failed power supply. For servers with dual power supplies this risk can be greatly reduced and up-time can be retained if the second power supply is connected and operational. Vulnerability addressed is number <u>5</u>. Unused or Missing Redundant Power Supply Assets affected by a successful exploitation are: Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data Investigator Data Badge Office Data Human Resources Data Building Physical Security Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: Medium
Testing Procedure	 Locate Server and power connections (typically on back of unit)
	 Verify that both power cables are connected to server power supplies Verify that power indicator lights are lit on both power supplies and their status is "good"
Test Nature	- Objective
Evidence	
Findings	

Verify Patch or Update management process

Item 7	Data/Comments
Reference	Securing Windows 2000 Server (Sans)
	http://www.sans.org/rr/whitepapers/win2k/189.php
Risk	 An un-patched system connected to a network is

there is a good process in place to manage patch updates will decrease the vulnerability level of th Vulnerability addressed is number 1. Insufficient Update management Process - Assets affected by a successful exploitation are 1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital V 2. Investigator Data 3. Badge Office Data 4. Human Resources Data - Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnera High - Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnera High - Locate and interview the Administrator for the tar system - Verify the existence of policies and procedures of the Patching and Updating process - Locate server and with a Domain Admin present a domain administrator	hes and e system. <u>at Patch or</u> : <u>'ideo Data</u> ability: ability: rget outlining
a domain administrator	
programs"	love
- Under "Change or Remove Programs" Identify A Microsoft Lindatos, Hot Fixes and Service packs	.11
- Compare these against the latest versions on the	e
Microsoft Security Updates Bulletins Page	
http://www.microsoft.com/security/bulletins/defau	<u>ult.mspx</u>
- verity that all applicable patches are installed an	a current
Test Nature - Objective and Subjective	
Evidence	
Findings	

Does the Server have Remote Desktop Applications

Item 8	Data/Comments
Reference	UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines
	http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/adminguide/w2ksecguideli nes.html#filesystem Chapter 4.C

Risk	- It is important to check for the presence of remote desktop
I LION	applications. They are simply another common access point
	that can be exploited
	linal can be exploited.
	- Vulnerability addressed is number <u>9. Remote Desktop</u>
	Applications
	 Assets affected by a successful exploitation are:
	1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data
	2. Investigator Data
	3. Badge Office Data
	4. Human Resources Data
	5. Building Physical Security
	- Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: <u>High</u>
Testing	- Locate server and with a Domain Admin present log on as a
Procedure	domain administrator
	- Click on Start, Control Panel and then "Add/Remove programs"
	- Under "Change or Remove Programs" Identify any Remote
	Desktop Applications
	- Under "Add or Remove Windows Components" Identify any
	Remote Desktop Applications
Test	- Objective
Nature	
Evidence	
Findings	
i muniys	

Do the Remote Desktop Applications require passwords

ltem 9	Data/Comments
Reference	UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines
	http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/adminguide/w2ksecguideli
	nes.html#filesystem
	Chapter 4.C
Risk	 Many remote desktop applications offer the ability to remotely gain access to another desktop "without" logging onto the application itself. Presenting the password protection on the remote desktop application adds an additional layer of security that may stop an intruder from gaining full access to the remote computer. Vulnerability Addressed is number <u>9. Remote Desktop Applications</u> Assets affected by a successful exploitation are: <u>1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data</u> <u>3. Badge Office Data</u> <u>4. Human Resources Data</u> <u>5. Building Physical Security</u>

	 Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: <u>High</u>
Testing Procedure	 Locate server and with a Domain Admin present log on as a domain administrator Identify Remote Desktop Applications Launch the Host program (program on the server that allows remote access) and look for Password Protection Options Verify that the option is enabled
Test Nature	- Objective
Evidence	
Findings	

Check Remote Desktop Application User Administration Process

Item 10	Data/Comments							
Reference	UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines							
	http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/adminguide/w2ksecguideli							
	nes.html#filesystem							
	Chapter 4.C							
Risk	 Although a remote desktop application may be password 							
	protected it could still fall victim to a free and open							
	administration process. If anyone can give anyone a							
	username and password we greatly reduce the efficiency of							
	this layer of security.							
	 Vulnerability addressed is number <u>9. Remote Desktop</u> 							
	Applications							
	 Assets affected by a successful exploitation are: 							
	1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data							
	2. Investigator Data							
	3. Badge Office Data							
	4. Human Resources Data							
	5. Building Physical Security							
	 Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: <u>High</u> 							
Testing	- Locate server and with a Domain Admin present log on as a							
Procedure	domain administrator							
	 Identify Remote Desktop Applications 							
	- Launch the Host program (program on the server that allows							
	remote access) and look for User Account Administration (the							
	ability to create accounts for remote users)							
	 Verify the correct Administrator(s) have the only accounts with 							
	the ability to create other accounts and/or modify privileges							
	 Locate and interview the Administrator for the target system 							
	 Verify the existence of policies and procedures outlining the 							

	Remote Desktop account creation, modification and deletion process
Test	- Objective and Subjective
Nature	
Evidence	
Findings	

Check Global Catalog User and Computer Administration Process

Item 11	Data/Comments						
Reference	I have referenced Personal Experience for this Checklist Item. Administrators may create additional user accounts for testing or other purposes. Without a strict process and auditing this can create a great vulnerability.						
Risk	 An open or unrestricted administration process could quite possibly be the weak link in the "security" chain. The network can be secured very well, but if anyone can easily acquire administrative or elevated permissions then the security structure is greatly weakened. Vulnerability addressed is number <u>7. Elevated Permissions</u> Assets affected by a successful exploitation are: Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data Investigator Data Badge Office Data Human Resources Data Building Physical Security Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: <i>High</i> 						
Testing	 Locate and interview the Administrator for the target 						
Procedure	 system Verify the existence of policies and procedures outlining the user/computer account creation, modification and deletion process 						
Test Nature	- Subjective						
Evidence							
Findings							

Verify the existence of Antivirus Software

Item 12	Data/Comments						
Reference	Auditing the Corporate Access Control System: An independent						
	Auditor's Perspective						
	http://www.giac.org/practical/GSNA/Scott Steiner GSNA.pdf						
	Item 9						

Dick	Antivirus Cofficience is often the main defense against						
RISK	- Antivirus Software is often the main defense against						
	intrusions from computer viruses and other Malware.						
	 Vulnerability addressed is number <u>1. Insufficient Patch or</u> 						
	Update management Process						
	 Assets affected by a successful exploitation are: 						
	1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data						
	2. Investigator Data						
	3. Badge Office Data						
	4. Human Resources Data						
	5. Building Physical Security						
	 Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: 						
	<u>High</u>						
Testing	- Locate server and with a Domain Admin present log on as a						
Procedure	domain administrator						
	 Click on Start, Control Panel and then "Add/Remove 						
	programs"						
	- Under "Change or Remove Programs" Identify any Antivirus						
	Software Applications						
Test Nature	- Objective						
Evidence							
Findings							

Check that Antivirus Application is set to Auto Update

Item 13	Data/Comments							
Reference	Auditing the Corporate Access Control System: An independent							
	Auditor's Perspective							
	http://www.giac.org/practical/GSNA/Scott Steiner GSNA.pdf							
	Item 9							
Risk	 An update process must be in place to insure the Antivirus software is being updated often. We are checking to see that the Automatic updates feature is enabled on this system. 							
	- Vulnerability addressed is number <u>1. Insufficient Patch or</u>							
	Update management Process							
	- Assets affected by a successful exploitation are:							
	<u>1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data</u>							
	2. Investigator Data							
	3. Badge Office Data							
	4. Human Resources Data							
	5. Building Physical Security							
	- Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability:							
	<u>High</u>							
Testing	- Locate server and with a Domain Admin present log on as a							
Procedure	domain administrator							

	 Identify Antivirus Software Application(s) Launch the Antivirus program and search for the option/configuration setting that allows the software to auto-update Verify this option is enabled
Test Nature	- Objective
Evidence	
Findings	

Check that Antivirus Virus Definitions are up to date

Item 14	Data/Comments						
Reference	Auditing the Corporate Access Control System: An independent						
	Auditor's Perspective						
	http://www.giac.org/practical/GSNA/Scott Steiner GSNA.pdf						
	Item 9						
Risk	 Although a system may have an Antivirus application installed if the virus definitions are not up to date any number of recently created viruses or malware can still attack a system. Vulnerability addressed is number <u>1. Insufficient Patch or Update management Process</u> Assets affected by a successful exploitation are: <u>1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data</u> <u>2. Investigator Data</u> <u>3. Badge Office Data</u> <u>4. Human Resources Data</u> <u>5. Building Physical Security</u> 						
	 Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: High 						
Testing Procedure	 Locate server and with a Domain Admin present log on as a domain administrator Identify Antivirus Software Application(s) Launch the Antivirus program and search for Virus Definition history Verify the latest Virus Definitions are up to date 						
Test Nature	- Objective						
Evidence							
Findings							

The Audit

In the pages following you will find the results of the physical audit performed on the Corporate Security Domain Controller. A smaller set of items have been chosen to represent the key focus of this Audit. Select items have been taken from the preceding checklist and placed here. The audit results can be found here in the Item's "Evidence" and "Findings" fields.

Item 1	Data/Comments							
Reference	Microsoft 5-Minute Security Advisor - Basic Physical Security							
	http://www.microsoft.com/technet/community/columns/5min/5min-							
	<u>203.mspx</u>							
Risk	- Too often companies spend a great deal of time and money							
	securing their Network when their greatest vulnerability could							
	be the theft of the computer itself.							
	- Vulnerability addressed is number 6. Server stored in							
	unsecured location							
	- Assets affected by a successful exploitation are:							
	1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data							
	2. Investigator Data							
	3. Badge Office Data							
	4. Human Resources Data							
	5. Building Physical Security							
	- Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: <u>High</u>							
Testing	 Locate and Visit the facility where the server is housed 							
Procedure	 Verify that access to the room is controlled and that only 							
	required personnel can gain access							
	 Verify that the server is in a locked server cabinet/rack 							
Test	- Objective							
Nature								
Evidence	- The Server is located in a building secured by an Access							
	Control system.							
	- The room that the server is located in is secured by an							
	additional layer of access control utilizing Bio-Metric hand							
	reader technology							
	- Reviewing an access report for this room with the							
	Administrator it was found that only IT personnel with specific							
	requirements have access to this room							
Findings	- Pass							

Check that the Server is Physically Secured

Check for	the	existence	of	Open	Shares

ltem 2	Data/Comments
Reference	UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines
	http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/adminguide/w2ksecguidelines.html#physical

	Chapter 2.D ii & iii			
Risk	- One of the most common ways an intruder can gain access to			
	a computer is through an open share.			
	 Vulnerability addressed is number <u>8. Open Shares</u> 			
	 Assets affected by a successful exploitation are: 			
	1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data			
	2. Investigator Data			
	3. Badge Office Data			
	4. Human Resources Data			
	- Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: <u>High</u>			
Testing	- Locate server and log on as a domain user			
Procedure	- Right click on the My Computer Icon			
	- Left click on Manage			
	- In Computer Management under System tools click on "Shared			
	Folders" then click on Shares			
	- Verify there are no Unauthorized/Open shares			
Test	- Objective			
Nature				
Evidence	- This Screen Shot displays several open shares some of which			
	hold critical information to the access control system running			
	within the server:			

	📙 Computer Management					
	<u>A</u> ction <u>V</u> iew ↓ ← → 🗈 💽	🔊 🗗 🗟 😫] 💭			
	Tree	Shared F 🛆	Shared Path	Туре	# Client Redirections	Comment
	Computer Management (Local)	Readques	C:\WINNT E:\Badges	Windows Windows	0 0	Remote Admir
	Event Viewer		C:\	Windows	0	Default share
	System Information	∎grtş ≣75	E.(F:)	Windows	0	Default share
	Shares	∎⊒ G\$ ∎⊒ IPC\$	G:1	Windows Windows	0 15	Default share Remote IPC
	Open Files	Pro-Watch	E:\Sysvol\sysvol\VA E:\Pro-Watch Backup	Windows Windows	0	Logon server
	E Storage	SYSVOL	E:\Sysvol\sysvol G:\Temp File Transfer	Windows Windows	0 0	Logon server:
	Disk Management	Real Tools and S	G:\Tools and Software	Windows	0	
	Logical Drives Removable Storage		Pro-Watch Backup	Properties		? ×
	Services and Applications		General Share Pe	rmissions Security	1	(
			Name			Add
						Remove
			Permissions:		Allow	Deny
			Change		⊻ ⊻	
			Read			
				- 04	Cancel	Apply
						Adda
Findings	- Fail					

Password Protected Screen Saver Enabled

Item 3	Data/Comments
Reference	I have referenced Personal Experience for this Checklist Item. In my
	experience you may come across someone remotely logged on to a
	server by simply traversing through the control console (switchbox)
Risk	 If an administrator walked away from a system and did not log off or lock it "and" a Password Protected Screen Saver was not enabled anyone local or remote could take control of that system. Vulnerability addressed is number <u>2. No Password Protected screen savers on Server</u> Assets affected by a successful exploitation are: <u>1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data</u>
	2. Investigator Data
	3. Badge Office Data
	4. Human Resources Data

	5. Building Physical Security - Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: High
Testing Procedure	 Locate server and log on as a domain user Right click on the desktop and select properties Under display properties select screen saver Verify that a screen saver is selected and that the Password Protected button is checked Also verify that the Wait time is set no longer than 15 minutes
Test Nature	- Objective
Evidence	 This Screen Shot displays the desktop properties screen saver tab. The Screen Saver is not enabled under the Domain User Logon: Wy Documents pw23custo Netvizor Wy Computer Shortcut to PORTMON PortMON PortMoN
	Internet Explorer Internet Explorer ProWatch Explorer Symanter pcAnywhere NCIRCLE-IP pcAnywhere Image: Symanter pcAnywhere NCIRCLE-IP pcAnywhere Image: Symanter pcAnywhere NCIRCLE-IP pcAnywhere Image: Symanter pcAnywhere NCIRCLE-IP pcAnywhere Image: Symanter pcAnywhere NCIRCLE-IP
Findings	- Fail

Check	that "Passwords must meet complexity policy" is enabled
Item 4	Data/Comments
Reference	Protect against weak Authentication Protocols and Passwords http://www.windowsecurity.com/articles/Protect-Weak- Authentication-Protocols-Passwords.html
Risk Testing Procedure	 An easily guessable password has the potential to allow an intruder full access to that system. Forcing users to create a complex password greatly reduces that risk. Vulnerability addressed is number <u>3. Easily Guessable Passwords (Server Policy does not enforce Passwords to meet complexity requirements)</u> Assets affected by a successful exploitation are: Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data Investigator Data Badge Office Data Human Resources Data Building Physical Security Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: <i>Medium</i> Locate server and with a Domain Admin present log on as a domain administrator Click on Start, Programs, Administrative Tools and Active Directory Users and Computers Right click on the server you want to administer and select properties Under the Server Properties select group policy In the list of Policy Object links Double click "Default Domain Policy" Under Computer Configuration select Windows Settings, Security Settings, Account Policies and Password Policies Verify that "Passwords Must Meet Complexity Requirements" is
Test Nature	- Objective
Evidence	 This Screen Shot displays the Servers Password Policy. "Passwords Must Meet Complexity Requirements" is enabled for this server:



Verify Patch or Update management process

ltem 7	Data/Comments
Reference	Securing Windows 2000 Server (Sans)
	http://www.sans.org/rr/whitepapers/win2k/189.php
Risk	 An un-patched system connected to a network is extremely vulnerable to viruses and mal-ware. Insuring there is a good process in place to manage patches and updates will

	 decrease the vulnerability level of the system. Vulnerability addressed is number <u>1. Insufficient Patch or Update management Process</u> Assets affected by a successful exploitation are: <u>1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data</u> <u>2. Investigator Data</u> <u>3. Badge Office Data</u> <u>4. Human Resources Data</u> Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: <u>High</u> 					
Testing	- Locate	 Locate and interview the Administrator for the target system 				
Procedure	- Verify Patchi	the existence of policies and proce	dures outlining the			
	- Locate	e server and with a Domain Admin	present log on as a			
	domai	n administrator				
	- Click o progra	m Start, Control Panel and then "A	dd/Remove			
	- Under	"Change or Remove Programs" Id	entify All Microsoft			
	Update - Comp	es, Hot Fixes and Service packs are these against the latest version	s on the Microsoft			
	Securi	ty Updates Bulletins Page				
	<u>http://v</u> - Verify	www.microsoft.com/security/bulleting that all applicable patches are instructed.	<u>ns/default.mspx</u> alled and current			
	Venity					
Test Nature	- Objective ar	nd Subjective				
Evidence	 Interviewed the Security Domain Administrator Confirmed through interview that there are no Policies and Procedures surrounding the patch and management process. Administrator patches the Security Domain Controller on an as-needed basis Below are screen shots displaying the registered patches to this server and a few missed updates from the Microsoft Bulletin page: 					
	Severity Software affected Update number					
	۲	Windows NT Server 4.0 SP6a	840987			
	- <u>+</u>	 Windows NT Server 4.0, Terminal Server Edition SP6 				
		• Windows 2000 SP3				
	Critical	Windows 2000 SP4				
		• Windows XP				

	 Windows XP 64-Bit Edition Version 2003 Windows Server 2003 Windows Server 2003 64-Bit Edition 	
<u>Get more i</u>	information in the technical bulletin	
Security B	ulletin MS04-036	
Security B Severity	ulletin MS04-036 Software affected	Update
Security B	ulletin MS04-036 Software affected	Update number
Security Bi Severity	ulletin MS04-036 Software affected Windows NT Server 4.0 SP6a	Update number 883935
Security B Severity	Image: Software affected Image: Windows NT Server 4.0 SP6a Image: Windows 2000 Server SP3	Update number 883935
Security B Severity	ulletin MS04-036 Software affected • Windows NT Server 4.0 SP6a • Windows 2000 Server SP3 • Windows 2000 Server SP4	Update number 883935
Security Ba	ulletin MS04-036 Software affected • Windows NT Server 4.0 SP6a • Windows 2000 Server SP3 • Windows 2000 Server SP4 • Windows Server 2003	Update number 883935



🖬 🖬 Add/Remove	Programs
	Currently installed programs:
Change or Remove Programs	Compaq Management Agents
2	To change this program or remove it from your comp
Add New Programs	C Ethereal 0.10.3 R Hewlett-Packard Survey Utility
200 B	P Internet Explorer Q832894
·····	到 Ipswitch WhatsUp Gold
Add/Remove Windows	LiveReg (Symantec Corporation)
Components	LiveUpdate 1.6 (Symantec Corporation)
	nicrosoft English Query
	Ø Microsoft Internet Explorer 6 SP1
	Microsoft SQL Server 2000
	😝 Microsoft SQL Server 2000 Analysis Services
	Norton AntiVirus Corporate Edition
	🛃 Pro-Watch 3.5
	🛃 Pro-Watch Sentinel Driver
	😻 Symantec pcAnywhere
	Version Control Agent 1.0
	🛃 Windows 2000 Administration Tools
	🛃 Windows 2000 Hotfix - KB824146
	🔂 Windows 2000 Hotfix - KB835732
	🛅 WinPcap 3.0 alpha 4
	WinVNC 3.3.3
	🤫 WinZip
As part of C	

Findings	- Fail
_	 There are no policies or procedures and no change control processes in place to insure proper checks and balances in
	the patching or updating of this domain controller
	 This server is not at the current patch level

Does the Server have Remote Desktop Applications

Item 8	Data/Comments
Reference	UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines
	http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/adminguide/w2ksecguideli
	nes.html#filesystem
	Chapter 4.C
Risk	 It is important to check for the presence of remote desktop
	applications. They are simply another common access point
	that can be exploited.
	 Vulnerability addressed is number <u>9. Remote Desktop</u>
	Applications
	 Assets affected by a successful exploitation are:
	1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data
	2. Investigator Data
	3. Badge Office Data
	4. Human Resources Data
	5. Building Physical Security
	- Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: <u>High</u>
Testing	- Locate server and with a Domain Admin present log on as a
Procedure	domain administrator
	- Click on Start, Control Panel and then "Add/Remove programs"
	- Under "Change or Remove Programs" Identify any Remote
	Desktop Applications
	- Under "Add or Remove Windows Components" Identify any
	Remote Desktop Applications
Test	
lest	- Objective
Nature	
Evidence	- This Screen Shot shows a display of the applications loaded onto
	this server
	- I nere are two remote desktop applications installed
	"PCAnywhere" and "WinVNC":



Findings	- Confirmed 2 Remote Desktop Applications Installed on this
	Server

ltem 9	Data/Comments					
Reference	UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines					
	http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/adminguide/w2ksecguideli					
	nes.html#filesystem					
	Chapter 4.C					
Risk	 Many remote desktop applications offer the ability to remotely gain access to another desktop "without" logging onto the application itself. Presenting the password protection on the remote desktop application adds an additional layer of security that may stop an intruder from gaining full access to the remote computer. Vulnerability Addressed is number <u>9. Remote Desktop Applications</u> Assets affected by a successful exploitation are: <u>1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data</u> <u>3. Badge Office Data</u> Human Resources Data 					
	5. Building Physical Security					
	- Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: <u>High</u>					
Testing	- Locate server and with a Domain Admin present log on as a					
Procedure	domain administrator					
	- Identified Remote Desktop Applications					
	- Launch the Host program (program on the server that allows					
	remote access) and look for Password Protection Options					
	- Verify that the option is enabled					
Test Nature	- Objective					
Evidence	 These Screen Shots are a result of a stimulus/response test for both Remote Desktop Applications With both application remote programs loaded on a separate client machine within the security domain an attempt to connect to the server was made PCAnywhere required a username/password WinVNC required a session password 					

	💣 VAC-Nexwatch - pcAnywhere
	Please enter your login information: III. Username: III. Password: III.
Findinas	VNC Authentication

Check Global Catalog User and Computer Administration Process

Item 11	Data/Comments
Reference	I have referenced Personal Experience for this Checklist Item.
	Administrators may create additional user accounts for testing or
	other purposes. Without a strict process and auditing this can
	create a great vulnerability.
Risk	 An open or unrestricted administration process could quite possibly be the weak link in the "security" chain. The network can be secured very well, but if anyone can acquire administrative or elevated permissions then the security structure is greatly weakened. Vulnerability addressed is number <u>7. Elevated Permissions</u> Assets affected by a successful exploitation are: <u>1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data</u>
	<u>3. Badge Office Data</u>
	4. Human Resources Data
	5. Building Physical Security
	- Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability:
	<u>High</u>

Testing Procedure	 Locate and interview the Administrator for the target system Verify the existence of policies and procedures outlining the user/computer account creation, modification and deletion process
Test Nature	- Subjective
Evidence	 Confirmed through interview with Administrator of the Security Domain that there are no Policies and Procedures for the creation, modification and deletion of user or computer accounts. Administrator updates Active Directory Users and Computers on an as-needed basis
Findings	 Fail There are no policies or procedures and no change control processes in place to insure proper checks and balances in the administration of the user and computer accounts on this domain controller

Verify the existence of Antivirus Software

Item 12	Data/Comments
Reference	Auditing the Corporate Access Control System: An independent
	Auditor's Perspective
	http://www.giac.org/practical/GSNA/Scott_Steiner_GSNA.pdf
	Item 9
Risk	 Antivirus Software is often the main defense against
	intrusions from computer viruses and other Malware.
	 Vulnerability addressed is number <u>1. Insufficient Patch or</u>
	Update management Process
	 Assets affected by a successful exploitation are:
	1. Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data
	2. Investigator Data
	3. Badge Office Data
	4. Human Resources Data
	5. Building Physical Security
	 Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: <u>High</u>
Testing	- Locate server and with a Domain Admin present log on as a
Procedure	domain administrator
	- Click on Start, Control Panel and then "Add/Remove programs"
	- Under "Change or Remove Programs" Identify any Antivirus
	Software Applications
Test	- Objective
Nature	
Evidence	- This Screen Shot shows a display of the applications loaded onto

this server
- Norton Antivirus Corporate Edition is loaded onto this Server

Juin.



Findings - Pass

	Check that Antivirus Virus Definitions are up to date				
Item 14	Data/Comments				
Reference	Auditing the Corporate Access Control System: An independent				
	Auditor's Perspective				
	http://www.giac.org/practical/GSNA/Scott Steiner GSNA.pdf				
	Item 9				
Risk	- Although a system may have an Antivirus system installed if				
	the virus definitions are not up to date any number of recently				
	Created viruses of malware can still attack a system.				
	- Vullerability addressed is number <u>1. Insumclent Patch of</u>				
	- Assets affected by a successful exploitation are:				
	1 Control Room Operator Access Control and Digital Video Data				
	2 Investigator Data				
	3 Badge Office Data				
	4. Human Resources Data				
	5. Building Physical Security				
	- Likelihood that a threat could exploit this vulnerability: <u>High</u>				
Testing	- Locate server and with a Domain Admin present log on as a				
Procedure	domain administrator				
	 Identify Antivirus Software Application(s) 				
	- Launch the Antivirus program and search for Virus Definition				
	history				
	- Verify the latest Virus Definitions are up to date				
Test	- Objective				
Nature					
Evidence	- This Screen Shot shows the main application front end of Norton				
	Antivirus				
	- Virus Definition files are up to date:				



Report and Recommendations

Executive Summary

This Audit was performed with the expressed permission of the Security Department and in conjunction with the Security Domain Administrator.

There are several considerations to every technical audit so it was very important that the focus of this audit included only the items that a Security Domain Controller might be vulnerable to. Ultimately 10 Items were used to assess the security of this Server. In general the Server did fairly well outside of a few noted exceptions regarding file shares and screen savers. The other note worthy items had more to do with Policy and Procedure rather than System Security.

The purpose of this audit was to assess the vulnerabilities and risk levels of this security systems server and I believe we have achieved that. Before I get to the recommendations I would like to review some of the findings.

Audit Findings

Item 1 (page 22) Check that the Server is Physically Secured

- The Server **passed** the physical security inspection. A site visit was performed and it was found that the building was secured well with access control. Once inside, the server room was protected with BioMetric "Hand Reader" access control technology. The server was secured well inside the Rack in the server room; however, the door to the rack was unlocked and left open.
- The **Recommendation** is to keep the door to the Server Rack closed and locked when not being used. This will mitigate the risk inherent with the physical access of a multi-user server room. The **Cost** should be minimal (less than \$100) to produce additional Rack Door keys and all key-holders should be registered with the security department and human resources in the event of employment termination.
- In the interim or to keep costs down the key to the lockable server cabinet can be given to security where it can be checked out by a user each time it needs to be opened.

Item 2 (page 22) Check for the existence of Open Shares

- The Server **failed** the check for open shares inspection. While accessing the Computer Management Console and reviewing the Shared Folders a number of "open shares" were discovered. Each share was checked for permissions which may allow it to be accessible by the public. This would create a risk. A few of them were open (see figure 1.3). Open Shares can be used to propagate viruses and mal-ware.
- The Recommendation is to close all open shares and to conduct a monthly inspection of the Shared Folders under the servers Computer Management Console. A screen shot (much like the one in figure 1.3) should be sent to management each month for review. The Cost is negligible and only requires a few moments of the Administrators time.

📃 Computer Management						_ 5
<u>Action</u> ⊻iew	8					
Tree	Shared F 🛆	Shared Path	Туре	# Client Redirections	Comment	
Real Computer Management (Local)	R ADMIN\$	C:\WINNT	Windows	0	Remote Admin	
🗐 🐔 System Tools	R Badges	F:\Badges	Windows	0		
🕀 💼 Event Viewer	R C\$	C:/	Windows	0	Default share	
🕀 😼 System Information	€ €\$	E:\	Windows	0	Default share	
🕀 🎆 Performance Logs and Alerts	∎⊒F\$	F:\	Windows	0	Default share	
🖻 💫 Shared Folders	🔊 G\$	G:\	Windows	0	Default share	
Shares	R IPC\$		Windows	15	Remote IPC	
	RETLOGON	E:\Sysvol\sysvol\VA	Windows	0	Logon server share	
Open Files	Real Pro-Watch	E:\Pro-Watch Backup	Windows	0		
	SYSVOL .	E:\Sysvol\sysvol	Windows	0	Logon server share	
Electric osers and Groups	🗊 Temp File Tr	G:\Temp File Transfer	Windows	0		
Disk Management	Real Tools and S	G:\Tools and Software	Windows	0		
- Dogical Drives		Pro-Watch Backup I	Properties		? ×	
🕀 🙀 Removable Storage		·				
E- 🕵 Services and Applications		General Share Per	missions Secur	rity		
_						
		Name			Add	
		Everyone			Berry I	
					hemove	
		Permissions:		Allow	Deny	
		Full Control				
		Change				
		Read				
				—	-	
				UK Cancel	Apply	

Figure 1.3

Item 3 (page 24) Password Protected Screen Saver Enabled

- The Server **Failed** the Password Protected Screen Saver inspection (*see figure 1.4*). I checked to see if the password protected screen savers (under the "Display Properties" on the desktop of the server) option was enable. As a standard domain user I found the screen saver option was not enabled. If a system does not utilize a password protected screen saver someone can accidentally walk away from a system they've logged onto leaving it open for intruders to find even several months later.
- The **Recommendation** is to incorporate the activation and password protection option as part of an administrators check list when assigning a new account. This would be a manual process, but it would accomplish three things. One is the remediation of a potential exposure, the second is the visual verification by the Administrator that the option has been enabled and

lastly the new account holder will gain an initial impression as to the level of importance the management group places on security.

- The **Cost** to achieve this goal should be minimal. To create a checklist for new account holders will take a few hours of an Administrators time, but the management of it should be quite simple.

My Documents	pw23custo	Netvizor	
My Computer	Shortcut to PORTMON	netvizor.bat	Display Properties
My Network Places	employee2.txt		Background Screen Saver Appearance Web Effects Settings
	WhatsUp Gold		
Symantec pcAnywhere	NCIRCLE-IP		Screen Saver (None) Settings Preview Password protected Wait: 15 minutes Energy saving features of monitor
Services	NCIRCLE-R		To adjust the power settings for your monitor, click Power
New Folder	WinPCap Control Control Contro		
JupdateHWC	Restricted FN License Co		
	2	7	Figure 1.4

Item 4 (page 26) Check that "Passwords must meet complexity policy" is enabled

The Server **Passed** the Password Complexity Policy inspection. Under Active Directory Users and Computers I opened up the Password Policies and reviewed the settings (see figure 1.5). This Password must meet complexity policy option was enabled.



Figure 1.5

Item 7 (page 27) Verify Patch or Update management processes

 The Server and the Process Failed the Patch/Update management inspection. An interview was conducted with the Security Systems Administrator with regards to Policies and Procedures for patching and updating this server. There are no policies or procedures that govern the Patch or Update process. Additionally the Server is not up to the current patch level and therefore remains vulnerable to intruders (see images on pages 29 and 30). An unpatched system is extremely vulnerable to software viruses and hackers. Without a process in place this server will have trouble staying at the current patch level.

- The **Recommendation** is to patch the Server as soon as possible. Additionally, a process needs to be put in place that insures this domain controller will remain current with its patches and updates. A simple process could involve a timeline. The administrator would have 24 hours from the time Microsoft releases a patch or update to get it installed and confirm this with a screen shot of the installed patch. There is no **Cost** to support either of these recommendations aside from a few hours of the Administrators time.

Item 8 (page 31) Does the Server have Remote Desktop Applications

- A **Confirmation** was made that the Server does have remote desktop applications loaded onto it. This was discovered by navigating to the Add/Remove Programs under control panel (*see figure 1.6*). Symantec PCAnywhere and WinVNC 3.3.3 are loaded onto this Server.

© SANS Institute 2005,

🖶 Add/Remov	e Programs		_ 8 >
1	Currently installed programs:	Sort by: Name	•
Change or Remove Programs	Compaq Management Agents	Used Last Used On	r <u>arely</u> 6/2/2003
2	To change chis program of remove it from your computer, dick change/kemove.		kemove
Add New Programs	© Ethereal 0.10.3	Size	37.5MB
, rograms	B Hewlett-Packard Survey Utility	Size	1.16MB
10 E	B Internet Explorer Q832894	Size	10.7MB
Add/Demove	1 Ipswitch WhatsUp Gold	Size	25.8MB
Windows	LiveReg (Symantec Corporation)	Size	1.58MB
Components	LiveUpdate 1.6 (Symantec Corporation)	Size	2.91MB
	n Argent Andrew Andre	Size	7.05MB
	Ø Microsoft Internet Explorer 6 SP1	Size	10.7MB
	🗐 Microsoft SQL Server 2000	Size	145MB
	📦 Microsoft SQL Server 2000 Analysis Services	Size	71.0MB
	퉳 Norton AntiVirus Corporate Edition	Size	13.2MB
	🛃 Pro-Watch 3.5	Size	157MB
	🐻 Pro-Watch Sentinel Driver	Size	482KB
	👹 Symantec pcAnywhere	Size	35.8MB
	m Version Control Agent 1.0	Size	12.7MB
	😥 Windows 2000 Administration Tools	Size	1.00KB
	💮 Windows 2000 Hotfix - KB824146		
	👘 Windows 2000 Hotfix - KB835732		
	The second secon	Size	233KB
	WinVNC 3.3.3	Size	831KB
	😝 WinZip	Size	4.12MB
			*
			Close

Figure 1.6

Item 9 (page 33) Do the Remote Desktop Applications require passwords

The Server Passed the Remote Desktop Application password inspection. If you recall from Item 8, page 31 we discovered the existence of remote desktop applications. Under Item 9 an inspection was made to check whether the Remote Desktop applications are using password protection. To do this I chose a Stimulus/Response test. I logged onto a workstation that had both PCAnywhere and WinVNC remote software running on it. From there I made the attempt to connect to the Security Domain Controller. Please see Figure 1.7 and 1.8 to review the responses from this test.

💣 VAC-Nexwatch - pcAnywhere	×
pcAnywhere Host Login	×
Please enter your login information:	Cancel
Usemame:	
Password:	

Figure 1.7

NC Aut	hentication	
Session password:	Causing accounted	дк
	oession password. In	Cancel
	Figure 1.8	

Item 11 (page 34) Check Global Catalog User and Computer Administration Process

- The Process (in this case) **Failed** the Global Catalog User and Computer Account management inspection. An interview was conducted with the Security Systems Administrator with regards to Policies and Procedures for managing accounts on this server. There are no policies or procedures that govern the account management process. Without a process to manage user and computer accounts users may be able to acquire elevated permissions and accidentally allow exposures to exist.
- I'm Recommending a process be put in place that insures accounts on this domain controller will only be updated after acquiring written Management approval to do so. Upon approval the administrator can update the Global Catalog and confirm the new configuration by a screen shot to Management. There is no Cost to support this recommendation aside from a few hours of the Administrators time and the time it will take for the Management signature process.

Item 12 (page 35) Verify the existence of Antivirus Software

 A Confirmation was made that the Server does have an Antivirus application loaded onto it. This was discovered by navigating to the Add/Remove Programs under control panel (*see figure 1.6*). Norton Antivirus Corporate Edition is loaded onto this Server.

Item 14 (page 38) Check that Antivirus Virus Definitions are up to date

The Server Passed the Antivirus Definitions currency inspection. If you recall from Item 12, page 35 we discovered the existence of an antivirus application. Under Item 14 an inspection was made to check whether the Antivirus application is using password protection. To do this I opened the Antivirus Application to the main page/screen. Please see Figure 1.9 for the results from this test.



Figure 1.9

Bibliography (References)

1. Securing Windows 2000 Server

http://www.microsoft.com/downloads/details.aspx?FamilyID=9964cf42-e236-4d73-aef4-7b4fdc0a25f6&DisplayLang=en

2. National Security Agency (Security Recommendation Guidelines for Windows 2000)

http://nsa1.www.conxion.com/win2k/download.htm

3. Securing Windows 2000 Server (Sans)

http://www.sans.org/rr/whitepapers/win2k/189.php

4. Protect Against Weak Authentication Protocols and Passwords http://www.windowsecurity.com/articles/Protect-Weak-Authentication-Protocols-Passwords.html

5. Auditing Windows 2000 http://www.winnetmag.com/Articles/Print.cfm?ArticleID=9633

6. UCB Windows 2000 Server Security Guidelines

http://www.colorado.edu/its/windows2000/adminguide/w2ksecguidelines.htm

7. Auditing the Corporate Access Control System: An independent Auditor's Perspective

http://www.giac.org/practical/GSNA/Scott Steiner GSNA.pdf

8. 5-Minute Security Advisor - Basic Physical Security

http://www.microsoft.com/technet/community/columns/5min/5min-203.mspx